arXiv:1206.4838v1 [math.AG] 21 Jun 2012

BRIDGELAND'S STABILITY AND THE POSITIVE CONE OF THE MODULI SPACES OF STABLE OBJECTS ON AN ABELIAN SURFACE.

KŌTA YOSHIOKA

Abstract. We shall study the chamber structure of positive cone of the albanese fiber of the moduli spaces of stable objects on an abelian surface via the chamber structure of stability conditions.

0. Introduction

Let X be an abelian surface. A Mukai lattice of X consists of $H^{2*}(X,\mathbb{Z}) := \oplus_{i=0}^{2} H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and an integral bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $H^{2*}(X,\mathbb{Z})$:

$$\langle x_0 + x_1 + x_2\varrho_X, y_0 + y_1 + y_2\varrho_X\rangle := (x_1, y_1) - x_0 y_2 - x_2 y_0 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $x_1, y_1 \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, $x_0, x_2, y_0, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varrho_X \in H^4(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is the fundamental class of X. We also introduce the algebraic Mukai lattice as the pair of $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}} := \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathrm{NS}(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$. For $x = x_0 + x_1 + x_2\varrho_X$ with $x_0, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x_1 \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, we also write $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$. We denote the category of coherent sheaves on X by $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ and the bounded derived category of $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ by $\mathbf{D}(X)$. For $\beta \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and an ample divisor $\omega \in \mathrm{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, Bridgeland [6] constructed a stability condition $\sigma_{\beta,\omega} = (\mathfrak{A}_{(\beta,\omega)}, Z_{(\beta,\omega)})$ on $\mathbf{D}(X)$. Here $\mathfrak{A}_{(\beta,\omega)}$ is a tilting of $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$, and $Z_{(\beta,\omega)} : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a group homomorphism called the stability function. In terms of the Mukai lattice $(H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$, $Z_{(\beta,\omega)}$ is given by

$$Z_{(\beta,\omega)}(E) = \langle e^{\beta+\sqrt{-1}\omega}, v(E)\rangle, \quad E \in \mathbf{D}(X).$$

Here $v(E) := \mathrm{ch}(E)$ is the Mukai vector of E. For abelian surfaces, these kind of stability conditions form a connected component $\mathrm{Stab}(X)^*$ of the space of stability conditions up to the action of the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathrm{GL}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$ as stated in [6, sect. 15]. If $\mathrm{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$, then $\mathrm{Stab}(X)^*/\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2,\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$.

For $v \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$, $M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$ denotes the moduli space of $\sigma_{(\beta,\omega)}$-semi-stable objects E with $v(E) = v$. If (β,ω) is general, we showed that $M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$ is a projective scheme [15, Thm. 0.0.2]. If v is primitive and $\langle v^2\rangle \geq 6$, then as a Bogomolov factor, we have an irreducible symplectic manifold $K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$ which is deformation equivalent to the generalized Kummer variety constructed by Beauville [3]. For a parameter $(\beta,\omega) = (\beta, tH)$ $(t \gg 0)$ called the large volume limit, $M_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$ coincides with the moduli space of stable sheaves $M_H(v)$. As is well-known, Fourier-Mukai transforms do not preserve Gieseker's stability. On the other hand, any Fourier-Mukai transform preserves the Bridgeland's stability. Thus Bridgeland's stability is a right notion for the study of stable sheaves at least on abelian surfaces.

In [15, sect. 4.3], we relate the ample cone of $K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$ to a chamber of the parameter space. In particular, we get a description of the ample cone of $K_H(v)$, where $K_H(v)$ is the Bogomolov factor of $M_H(v)$. The main purpose of this note is to refine the correspondence. For a Mukai vector $v \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$, we shall construct a map from our space of stability conditions $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ to the positive cone $P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $v^\perp$. This map is surjective up to the action of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ on $P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}$ (Proposition 3.11). More precisely, we slightly extend the map in order to treat the boundary of positive cone. We introduce the wall and chamber structures on these spaces and show that they correspond each other. By using these descriptions, we also study the movable cone of $K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$. As an application of our results, we give a characterization of $M_H(v)$ to be birationally equivalent to $\mathrm{Pic}^0(Y) \times \mathrm{Hilb}_Y^{\langle v^2\rangle/2}$, where Y is an abelian surface (Proposition 3.37). This result also follows from a characterization of the generalized Kummer variety by Markman and Mehrotra [12]. We also study the location of walls. If $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}(X) \geq 2$, we show that the stabilizer of v in the group of auto-equivalences is infinite. Hence if there is a wall, then we can generate infinitely many walls by the action of auto-equivalences. We also show that there is an example of X and v such that there is no wall, which implies that the ample cone of $K_H(v)$ is the same as the positive cone and the autoequivalences act as automorphisms of $M_H(v)$.

The study of the movable cone is motivated by recent works [1] and [2]. They studied the movable cones of the moduli spaces for the projective plane and a $K3$ surface by analysing the chamber structure

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D20.

The author is supported by the Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (No. 22340010), JSPS.

of Bridgeland's stability. For an irreducible symplectic manifold, Markman [10] studied the movable cone extensively. In particular, he obtained a numerical characterization of the movable cone. In this sense, our result (Theorem 4.14) gives concrete examples of his results.

Let us briefly explain the contents of this note. In section 1, we introduce some notations and recall known results on irreducible symplectic manifolds. In particular, we define our parameter space of stability condition and the wall for stability conditions. We also give a characterization of the walls in terms of Mukai lattice (Proposition 1.2). In section 2, we shall study the cohomological action of the autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(X)$, which will be used to study the set of walls. We first treat the case where $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{NS}(X) = 1$. In this case, we can use the 2 by 2 matrices description of the cohomological action of the Fourier-Mukai transforms in [22]. We then describe the stabilizer group $\operatorname{Stab}(v)$ of a Mukai vector v. By using it, we shall construct many auto-equivalences fixing v for all abelian surfaces.

In section 3, we relate our space of stability condition with the positive cone of the moduli spaces. We first construct a map from the space of stability conditions to the positive cone. Then we describe the nef cone of the moduli spaces. In subsection 3.3, we study the divisorial contractions of the moduli spaces. Then we get the description of movable cones (Theorem 3.29).

In section 4, as an example, we treat the case where $\operatorname{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$. In this case, the boundaries of $\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R}}$ are spanned by two isotropic vectors $v_\pm$. For a Mukai vector $v = (r, dH, a)$, we show that $v_\pm$ are not defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $\sqrt{\langle v^2\rangle/(H^2)} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. For the rank 1 case, this condition is equivalent to the existence of infinitely many walls [23]. According to Markman's solution [10] of the movable cone conjecture of Kawamata and Morrison ([7], [16]), we have infinitely many walls under this condition. By our correspondence of the space of stability conditions and the positive cone, we see that the accumulation points correspond to the two boundaries $\mathbb{R}_{>0}v_\pm$ which are the accumulation points set of walls. Thus we get an exlanation of the existence of accumulation points in terms of the positive cone. For the general cases, if $\sqrt{\langle v^2\rangle/(H^2)} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then we show that infinitely many Fourier-Mukai transforms preserve v as in the rank 1 case. So there are infinitely many walls if there is a wall. However as in the case where $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{NS}(X) \geq 2$, we have an abelian surface and a Mukai vector v such that there is no wall for v. In section 5, we shall explain how our result on the movable cone follows from Markman's general theory.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Eyal Markman very much for his explanation of his results in detail.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **Notations.** For $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{D}(X \times Y)$, we set

$$\Phi^{\mathbf{E}}_{X\to Y}(x) := \mathbf{R}p_{Y*}(\mathbf{E} \otimes p_X^*(x)),\ x \in \mathbf{D}(X),$$

where p_X, p_Y are projections from $X \times Y$ to X and Y respectively. The set of equivalences between $\mathbf{D}(X)$ and $\mathbf{D}(Y)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Eq}(\mathbf{D}(X), \mathbf{D}(Y))$. We set

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Eq}_0(\mathbf{D}(Y), \mathbf{D}(Z)) &:= \left\{ \Phi^{\mathbf{E}[2k]}_{Y\to Z} \in \operatorname{Eq}(\mathbf{D}(Y), \mathbf{D}(Z)) \,\middle|\, \mathbf{E} \in \operatorname{Coh}(Y \times Z),\ k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},\\ \mathcal{E}(Z) &:= \bigcup_Y \operatorname{Eq}_0(\mathbf{D}(Y), \mathbf{D}(Z)), \qquad \mathcal{E} := \bigcup_Z \mathcal{E}(Z) = \bigcup_{Y,Z} \operatorname{Eq}_0(\mathbf{D}(Y), \mathbf{D}(Z)).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}$ is a groupoid with respect to the composition of the equivalences.

1.2. **A parameter space of stability conditions.** For an abelian surface X,

$$\operatorname{Amp}(X) = \{x \in \operatorname{NS}(X) \mid (x^2) > 0, (x, h) > 0\},$$

where $h \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$ is an ample class of X. We set

$$\overline{\operatorname{Amp}(X)}_k := \{x \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_k \mid (x^2) \geq 0, (x, h) > 0\},$$

where $k = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$. For a cone $V \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, we set $C(V) := (V \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We fix a norm $||\ \ ||$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$. Then we have a bijection $V \setminus \{0\} \to C(V) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ by sending $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ to $(x/||x||, ||x||)$.

We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{H} &:= \operatorname{NS}(X)_\mathbb{R} \times C(\operatorname{Amp}(X)_\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0},\\ \overline{\mathfrak{H}} &:= \operatorname{NS}(X)_\mathbb{R} \times C(\overline{\operatorname{Amp}(X)}_\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

We have an identification $\mathfrak{H} \to \operatorname{NS}(X)_\mathbb{R} \times \operatorname{Amp}(X)_\mathbb{R}$ via $(\beta, H, t) \mapsto (\beta, tH)$.

Definition 1.1 (cf. [23, Defn. 1.8])**.** Let v be a Mukai vector. For a Mukai vector v_1 satisfying

$$\langle v_1, v - v_1\rangle > 0,\ \langle v_1^2\rangle \geq 0,\ \langle (v - v_1)^2\rangle \geq 0,\tag{1.2}$$

we define the wall W_{v_1} as

$$W_{v_1} := \{(\beta, H, t) \in \overline{\mathfrak{H}} \mid \mathbb{R}Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v_1) = \mathbb{R}Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v)\}.\tag{1.3}$$

$\mathfrak{W}$ denotes the set of Mukai vectors v_1 satisfying (1.2). A chamber for stabilities is a connected component of $\overline{\mathfrak{H}} \setminus \cup_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{W}} W_{v_1}$.

As we explained in [23], [15, Prop. 4.2.2] implies that if $(\beta, H, t) \in W_{v_1}$, there is a properly $\sigma_{(\beta,tH)}$-semi-stable object E with $v(E) = v$. In general, W_{v_1} may be an empty set. We have the following characterization for the non-emptyness of the wall whose proof is given in subsection 3.2.

Proposition 1.2. *Let v_1 be a Mukai vector satisfying* (1.2). *Then $W_{v_1} \cap \mathfrak{H} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if*

$$\langle v, v_1 \rangle^2 > \langle v^2 \rangle \langle v_1^2 \rangle. \tag{1.4}$$

Corollary 1.3. *Let w be an isotropic Mukai vector. If $\langle v^2 \rangle/2 > \langle w, v \rangle > 0$, then w satisfies* (1.2) *and $W_w \cap \mathfrak{H}$ is non-empty. In particular, if $\langle w, v \rangle = 1, 2$ and $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 6$, then w satisfies* (1.2) *and $W_w \cap \mathfrak{H} \neq \emptyset$.*

We set $v_1 := (r_1, \xi_1, a_1)$. Then the defining equation of W_{v_1} is

$$\begin{aligned} &\det \begin{pmatrix} a - (\xi, \beta) + r\frac{(\beta^2) - t^2(H^2)}{2} & a_1 - (\xi_1, \beta) + r_1\frac{(\beta^2) - t^2(H^2)}{2} \\ -(\xi - r\beta, H) & -(\xi_1 - r_1\beta, H) \end{pmatrix} \\ =&(\xi_1 - r_1\beta, H)a - (\xi - r\beta, H)a_1 + (r_1\xi - r\xi_1, \beta)(\beta, H) \\ &+ (\xi, \beta)(\xi_1, H) - (\xi_1, \beta)(\xi, H) - (r_1\xi - r\xi_1, H)\frac{(\beta^2) - t^2(H^2)}{2} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Lemma 1.4. (1) *If $r_1\xi - r\xi_1 \neq 0$, then W_{v_1} does not contain the hypersurface $(\xi - r\beta, H) = 0$.*
(2) *If $r_1\xi - r\xi_1 = 0$, then W_{v_1} is defined by $(\xi - r\beta, H) = 0$.*

Proof. (1) Assume that $r_1\xi - r\xi_1 \neq 0$. Then we can take $H \in \operatorname{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $(r_1\xi - r\xi_1, H) \neq 0$. We take $\beta \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $(\xi - r\beta, H) = 0$. Then we have $(\xi_1 - r_1\beta, H) \neq 0$. Since $Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v) \neq 0$, (1.5) implies that $(\beta, H, t) \notin W_{v_1}$. Since the hypersurface $(\xi - r\beta, H) = 0$ is irreducible, we get the claim. (2) If $r_1\xi - r\xi_1 = 0$, then (1.5) implies that

$$\left(\frac{r_1}{r}a - a_1\right)(\xi - r\beta, H) = 0.$$

Since $v_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}v$, W_{v_1} is defined by $(\xi - r\beta, H) = 0$. ☐

1.3. **Facts on irreducible symplectic manifolds.** For a smooth projective manifold M, $\operatorname{Amp}(M)_k \subset \operatorname{NS}(M)_k$ denotes the ample cone of M and $\operatorname{Nef}(M)_k \subset \operatorname{NS}(M)_k$ denotes the nef cone of numerically effective divisors on M, where $k = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.5. Let M be a smooth projective manifold.

1. (a) A divisor D on M is movable, if the base locus of $|D|$ has codimension ≥ 2.
   (b) $\operatorname{Mov}(M)_k \subset \operatorname{NS}(X)_k$ ($k = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$) denotes the cone generated by movable divisors and $\overline{\operatorname{Mov}(M)_{\mathbb{R}}}$ the closure in $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.
2. For an irreducible symplectic manifold M, q_M denotes the Beauville-Fujiki form on $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Then the positive cone is defined as
$$P^+(M)_k := \{x \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_k \mid q_M(x, x) > 0, q_M(x, h) > 0\}$$
where $k = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ and h is an ample divisor on M. We also set
$$\overline{P^+(M)}_k := \{x \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_k \mid q_M(x, x) \geq 0, q_M(x, h) > 0\}.$$

Remark 1.6. By the definition, $\operatorname{Mov}(M)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \operatorname{Mov}(M)_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \operatorname{NS}(M)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

By using the results of Boucksom [5], Markman showed that $\operatorname{Mov}(M)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is contained in $\overline{P^+(M)}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ([10, Lem. 6.22]).

Definition 1.7. A Mukai vector $v := (r, \xi, a) \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}}$ is positive, if (i) $r > 0$, or (ii) $r = 0$ and ξ is effective or (iii) $r = \xi = 0$ and $a > 0$.

Definition 1.8. Assume that v is primitive and $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 6$.

1. $K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$ denotes the fiber of the albanese map $M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v) \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(X) \times X$.
2. $\theta_{v,\beta,\omega} : v^\perp \to H^2(M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v), \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v), \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the Mukai's homomorphism. If there is a universal family $\mathbf{E}$ on $M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$, e.g., there is a Mukai vector w with $\langle v, w \rangle = 1$, then
$$\theta_{v,\beta,\omega}(x) = c_1(p_{M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)*}(\operatorname{ch}(\mathbf{E}) p_X^*(x^\vee)))_{|K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)},$$
where $p_X, p_{M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)}$ are projections from $X \times M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$ to X and $M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$ respectively.

Let $M_H(v)$ be the moduli space of semi-stable sheaves E on X with $v(E) = v$. If v is primitive, then $K_H(v)$ denotes a fiber of the albanese map $M_H(v) \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(X) \times X$.

Theorem 1.9 ([24, Thm. 0.1],[15, Thm.3.3.3, Rem. 3.3.4])**.** *Assume that* $\langle v^2\rangle \geq 6$.

1. $K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$ *is an irreducible symplectic manifold of* $\dim K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v) = \langle v^2\rangle - 2$ *which is deformation equivalent to the generalized Kummer variety constructed by Beauville* [3].
2. $\theta_{v,\beta,\omega} : (v^\perp, \langle\ ,\ \rangle) \to (H^2(K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v), \mathbb{Z}), q_{K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)})$ *is an isometry of Hodge structure.*

2. Fourier-Mukai transforms on abelina surfaces.

2.1. **Cohomological Fourier-Mukai transforms.** We collect some results on the Fourier-Mukai transforms on abelian surfaces X with $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{NS}(X) = 1$. Let H_X be the ample generator of $\operatorname{NS}(X)$. We shall describe the action of Fourier-Mukai transforms on the cohomology lattices in [22]. For $Y \in \operatorname{FM}(X)$, we have $(H_Y^2) = (H_X^2)$. We set $n := (H_X^2)/2$. In [22, sect. 6.4], we constructed an isomorphism of lattices

$$\iota_X : (H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n), B), \quad (r, dH_X, a) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} r & d\sqrt{n} \\ d\sqrt{n} & a \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y\sqrt{n} \\ y\sqrt{n} & z \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

and the bilinear form B on $\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ is given by

$$B(X_1, X_2) := 2ny_1y_2 - (x_1z_2 + z_1x_2)$$

for $X_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_i & y_i\sqrt{n} \\ y_i\sqrt{n} & z_i \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

Each $\Phi_{X\to Y}$ gives an isometry

$$\iota_Y \circ \Phi^H_{X\to Y} \circ \iota_X^{-1} \in \operatorname{O}(\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n)), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\operatorname{O}(\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n))$ is the isometry group of the lattice $(\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n), B)$. Thus we have a map

$$\eta : \mathcal{E} \to \operatorname{O}(\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n))$$

which preserves the structures of multiplications.

Definition 2.1. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G} &:= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{r} & b\sqrt{s} \\ c\sqrt{s} & d\sqrt{r} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{c} a, b, c, d, r, s \in \mathbb{Z},\ r, s > 0 \\ rs = n,\ adr - bcs = \pm 1 \end{array} \right\}, \\ G &:= \widehat{G} \cap \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

We have a right action $\cdot$ of $\widehat{G}$ on the lattice $(\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n), B)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & d\sqrt{n} \\ d\sqrt{n} & a \end{pmatrix} \cdot g := {}^t g \begin{pmatrix} r & d\sqrt{n} \\ d\sqrt{n} & a \end{pmatrix} g,\ g \in \widehat{G}. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus we have an anti-homomorphism:

$$\alpha : \widehat{G}/\{\pm 1\} \to \operatorname{O}(\operatorname{Sym}_2(n, \mathbb{Z})).$$

Theorem 2.2 ([22, Thm. 6.16, Prop. 6.19])**.** *Let* $\Phi \in \operatorname{Eq}_0(\mathbf{D}(Y), \mathbf{D}(X))$ *be an equivalence.*

1. $v_1 := v(\Phi(\mathcal{O}_Y))$ *and* $v_2 := \Phi(\varrho_Y)$ *are positive isotropic Mukai vectors with* $\langle v_1, v_2\rangle = -1$ *and we can write*

$$\begin{aligned} &v_1 = (p_1^2 r_1, p_1q_1H_Y, q_1^2 r_2), \quad v_2 = (p_2^2 r_2, p_2q_2H_Y, q_2^2 r_1), \\ &p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z},\ \ p_1, r_1, r_2 > 0, \\ &r_1r_2 = n,\ \ p_1q_2r_1 - p_2q_1r_2 = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

2. *We set*

$$\theta(\Phi) := \pm \begin{pmatrix} p_1\sqrt{r_1} & q_1\sqrt{r_2} \\ p_2\sqrt{r_2} & q_2\sqrt{r_1} \end{pmatrix} \in G/\{\pm 1\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then $\theta(\Phi)$ *is uniquely determined by* Φ *and we have a map*

$$\theta : \mathcal{E} \to G/\{\pm 1\}. \tag{2.5}$$

(3) *The action of* $\theta(\Phi)$ *on* $\mathrm{Sym}_2(n,\mathbb{Z})$ *is the action of* Φ *on* $\mathrm{Sym}_2(n,\mathbb{Z})$*:*

$$\iota_X \circ \Phi(v) = \iota_Y(v) \cdot \theta(\Phi). \tag{2.6}$$

Thus we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{E} \arrow[d, "\theta"'] \arrow[dr, "\eta"] & \\
\widehat{G}/\{\pm 1\} \arrow[r, "\alpha"'] & \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Sym}_2(n,\mathbb{Z}))
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.7}$$

From now on, we identify the Mukai lattice $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$ with $\mathrm{Sym}_2(n,\mathbb{Z})$ via ι_X. Then for $g \in \widehat{G}$ and $v \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$, $v \cdot g$ means $\iota_X(v \cdot g) = \iota_X(v) \cdot g$.

For isotropic Mukai vector $v = (x^2, \frac{xy}{\sqrt{n}} H_X, y^2) = x^2 e^{\frac{y}{x\sqrt{n}} H}$, $v \cdot g = (x'^2, \frac{x'y'}{\sqrt{n}} H_X, y'^2)$, where $(x', y') = (x,y)g$.

We also need to treat the composition of a Fourier-Mukai transform and the dualizing functor $\mathcal{D}_X$. For a Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi \in \mathrm{Eq}_0(\mathbf{D}(X), \mathbf{D}(Y))$, we set

$$\theta(\Phi \circ \mathcal{D}_X) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \theta(\Phi) \in \widehat{G}/\{\pm 1\}.$$

Then the action of $\theta(\Phi \circ \mathcal{D}_X)$ on $\mathrm{Sym}_2(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ is the same as the action of $\Phi \circ \mathcal{D}_X$.

2.2. **A stabilizer subgroup.** We keep the notation in subsection 2.1. In particular, we assume that $\mathrm{rk}\,\mathrm{NS}(X) = 1$. We shall study the stabilizer of $\pm v \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}/\{\pm 1\}$ in $\widehat{G}$. Assume that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ y & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & d\sqrt{n} \\ d\sqrt{n} & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} r & d\sqrt{n} \\ d\sqrt{n} & a \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.8}$$

and $xw - yz = \epsilon$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& rx^2 + 2d\sqrt{n}xz + az^2 = \epsilon r, \\
& ry^2 + 2d\sqrt{n}yw + aw^2 = \epsilon a, \\
& rxy + d\sqrt{n}(xw + zy) + azw = \epsilon d\sqrt{n}, \\
& xw - yz = \epsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Hence

$$y(tx + 2d\sqrt{n}z) + azw = rxy + 2d\sqrt{n}zy + azw = 0.$$

We set $y := -\lambda a z$ and $w := \lambda(tx + 2d\sqrt{n}z)$. Then $\epsilon = xw - yz = \lambda(rx^2 + 2d\sqrt{n}xz + az^2) = \lambda\epsilon r$. Hence $\lambda = 1/r$. Therefore

$$y = -\frac{a}{r}z,\ w := x + 2d\sqrt{n}\frac{z}{r}. \tag{2.10}$$

Conversely for x, z with

$$rx^2 + 2d\sqrt{n}xz + az^2 = \epsilon r, \tag{2.11}$$

we define y, w by (2.10). Then (2.9) are satisfied. We note that (2.11) is written as

$$(x + d\sqrt{n}\tfrac{z}{r})^2 - \ell(\tfrac{z}{r})^2 = \epsilon. \tag{2.12}$$

We set $X := x + d\sqrt{n}\frac{z}{r}$ and $Z := \frac{z}{r}$. Then

$$X^2 - \ell Z^2 = \epsilon \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X - d\sqrt{n}Z & -aZ \\ rZ & X + d\sqrt{n}Z. \end{pmatrix} = XI_2 + ZF, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$I_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ F := \begin{pmatrix} -d\sqrt{n} & -a \\ r & d\sqrt{n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.15}$$

We have $F^2 = \ell I_2$. We set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Stab}_0(v) :=& \{g \in \widehat{G} \mid g(v) = (\det g)v\} \\
=& \{g \in \widehat{G} \mid g = XI_2 + ZF\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

$\operatorname{Stab}_0(v)$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Stab}(v)$ of index 2. Indeed for $g \in \operatorname{Stab}(v)$, we have $g(v) = \eta(g)(\det g)v$ and $\eta(gg') = \eta(g)\eta(g')$, $g, g' \in \operatorname{Stab}(v)$. Thus $\ker \eta = \operatorname{Stab}_0(v)$. We get a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \varphi: & \operatorname{Stab}_0(v) & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ & XI_2 + ZF & \mapsto & X + Z\sqrt{\ell}. \end{array} \tag{2.17}$$

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that* $\sqrt{n\ell} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

1. *For* $XI_2 + ZF \in \operatorname{Stab}_0(v)$, $X + Z\sqrt{\ell}$ *is an algebraic integer such that* $(X + Z\sqrt{\ell})^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n\ell})$.
2. $\operatorname{im}\varphi \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.
3. φ *is injective or* $\ker\varphi = \{\sqrt{\ell}^{-1}F\}$ *if* $\sqrt{\ell}^{-1}F \in \operatorname{Stab}_0(v)$. *In particular, if* $n = 1$ *and* $\ell > 1$, *then* φ *is injective.*

Proof. We set $\alpha := X + Z\sqrt{\ell}$. Assume that $XI_2 + ZF \in \widehat{G}$. Then $x^2, y^2, xw, yz, xy/\sqrt{n}, xz/\sqrt{n}, yw/\sqrt{n}, zw/\sqrt{n}, \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$2(X^2 + d^2nZ^2) = x^2 + w^2,\ X^2 - d^2nZ^2 = xw,\ r^2\frac{XZ}{\sqrt{n}} = r\frac{xz}{\sqrt{n}} + dz^2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.18}$$

which impy that

$$X^2 + \ell Y^2, \frac{XZ}{\sqrt{n}} \in \mathbb{Q}. \tag{2.19}$$

We note that α satisfies the equation

$$\alpha^2 - 2X\alpha + \epsilon = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

Since $2X = x + w$ is an algebraic integer, α is an algebraic integer. By (2.19),

$$\alpha^2 = (X^2 + \ell Z^2) + 2\frac{XY}{\sqrt{n}}\sqrt{n\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n\ell}).$$

Thus (1) holds.

(2) We first prove that $\operatorname{im}\varphi \neq \{\pm 1\}$. We take a solution $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$ of $p^2 - n\ell q^2 = 1$ such that $q \neq 0$. We set $X := p$ and $Z := q\sqrt{n}$. Then

$$XI_2 + ZF = \begin{pmatrix} p - dnq & -aq\sqrt{n} \\ rq\sqrt{n} & p + dnq \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.21}$$

satisfies all the requirements. Therefore $\operatorname{im}\varphi \neq \{\pm 1\}$. By the Dirichlet unit theorem, the torsion part of $\operatorname{im}\varphi$ is $\{\pm 1\}$. Since α^2 is a unit of the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n\ell})$, the rank of $\operatorname{im}\varphi$ is 1, which implies the claim.

If $\alpha = X + Z\sqrt{\ell} = 1$, then $\alpha^2 = 1$ implies that $XZ = 0$. If $Y = 0$, then $X = 1$. If $X = 0$, then $Z\sqrt{\ell} = 1$. Therefore the first part of the claims holds.

Assume that $n = 1$ and $\ell > 1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}}F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}}\begin{pmatrix} -d\sqrt{n} & -a \\ r & d\sqrt{n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $\ell \mid a^2, \ell \mid r^2, \ell \mid d^2n$. Since v is primitive, a^2, r^2, d^2 are relatively prime. Hence $\ell \mid n$, which is a contradiction. Therefore the second part also holds. □

We set

$$\operatorname{Stab}_0(v)^* := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Stab}_0(v) \,\middle|\, xw - yz = 1,\ y \in \sqrt{n}\mathbb{Z} \right\}. \tag{2.22}$$

All elements of $\operatorname{Stab}_0(v)^*$ comes from autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(X)$ (see Lemma 2.5 below). $\operatorname{Stab}_0(v)/\operatorname{Stab}_0(v)^*$ is a finite group of type $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus k}$.

If

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Stab}(v) \setminus \operatorname{Stab}_0(v), \tag{2.23}$$

then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x & \frac{1}{r}(az + 2d\sqrt{n}x) \\ z & -x \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.24}$$

In particular, $A^2 = \pm I_2$.

Example 2.4. Assume that $n = 1$. Then $XI_2 + ZF \in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z}) = \widehat{G}$ if and only if $X \pm dZ, aZ, rZ \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $2 \mid r$ and $2 \mid a$, then $2 \nmid d$. Hence $\ell = d^2 - ra \equiv 1 \mod 4$. Then $\mathfrak{O} := \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{\ell}}{2}]$ is the ring of integers. Since $X \pm dZ \equiv X - Z \mod 2$, fundamental unit of $\mathfrak{O}$ is the generator of $\operatorname{im}\varphi$.

2.3. **The case where** $\operatorname{rk}\mathrm{NS}(X) \geq 2$**.** Assume that $\operatorname{rk}\mathrm{NS}(X) \geq 2$. Let $v = (r, \xi, a)$ be a Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle = 2\ell$. By using Proposition 2.3, we shall construct many autoequivalences preserving v. Assume that $\xi \in \mathrm{Amp}(X)$ and set $\xi = dH$, where H is a primitive and ample divisor. Let $L := \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}H \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varrho_X$ be a sublattice of $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $v_0 = (p^2n, pqH, q^2)$ *be a primitive and isotropic Mukai vector with* $n = (H^2)/2$ *and* $\gcd(pn, q) = 1$.

1. $M_H(v_0) \cong X$.
2. *For an isotropic vector* $v_1 \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$ *with* $\langle v_0, v_1 \rangle = 1$*, there is a Fourier-Mukai transform* $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ *such that* $\Phi(-\varrho_X) = v_0$ *and* $\Phi(v(\mathcal{O}_X)) = v_1$*. Moreover we have the following.*
    - (a) Φ *is unique up to the action of* $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \times \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \times 2\mathbb{Z}$*, where* $2k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ *actios as the shift functor* $[2k] : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$.
    - (b) *If* $v_1 \in L$*, then we can take* Φ *such that* $\Phi(L) = L$ *and* $\Phi_{|L^\perp}$ *is the identity.*

Proof. (1) We fix a stable sheaf E with $v(E) = v_0$. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the Poincaré line bundle on $X \times \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$. Then we have a surjective homomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \to M_H(v_0)$ by sending $y \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ to $E \otimes \mathbf{P}_{|X \times \{y\}} \in M_H(v_0)$ ([17]). So we get an isomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)/\Sigma(E) \to M_H(v_0)$, where

$$\Sigma(E) := \{y \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \mid E \otimes \mathbf{P}_{|X \times \{y\}} \cong E\}.$$

Let $T_x : X \to X$ be the translation by x. For a divisor D on X, $\phi_D : X \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ denotes the homomorphism such that $\phi_D(x) = T_x^*\mathcal{O}_X(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$. We set $K(D) := \ker\phi_D$. If $(D^2) > 0$, then ϕ_D is finite and $K(D) = (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$,where $d := (D^2)/2$. For $D = pqH$, [17, Thm. 7.11] implies that $\phi_{pqH}(X_{p^2n}) = \Sigma(E)$, where X_m denotes the set of m-torsion points of X, which is the kernel of $m : X \to X$ the multiplication by $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Hence we have a morphism $\phi : X \to M_H(v_0)$ such that $\phi(x) = E \otimes T_x^*(\mathcal{O}_X(pqH)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-pqH)$ and ϕ induces an isomorphism $X/(X_{p^2n} + K(pqH)) \cong M_H(v_0)$. Since $K(pqH) = (pq)^{-1}(K(H))$, $n(K(H)) = 0$ and $(pn, q) = 1$, we have a sequence of isomorphisms

$$X/(X_{p^2n} + K(pqH)) \overset{p^2n}{\to} X/p^2nK(pqH) = X/q^{-1}(0) \overset{q}{\to} X.$$

Therefore $M_H(v_0) \cong X$.

(2) By our assumption, we have a universal family $\mathbf{E}$ on $X \times M_H(v_0)$. By (1), we have an isomorphism $X \times M_H(v_0) \to X \times X$. Thus we may assume that $\mathbf{E} \in \mathrm{Coh}(X \times X)$. Then we have an equivalence $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ such that $\Phi(\mathbb{C}_x) = \mathbf{E}_{|X \times \{x\}}[1]$ for $x \in X$. Since $\langle \Phi^{-1}(v_1), \Phi^{-1}(v_0) \rangle = 1$, $\operatorname{rk}\Phi^{-1}(v_1) = 1$. Let $p_2 : X \times X \to X$ be the second projection. Replacing $\mathbf{E}$ by $\mathbf{E} \otimes p_2^*(L)$ ($L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$), we have $\Phi^{-1}(v_1) = v(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Thus the first claim holds. If $\Phi' : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ also satisfies the same properties, then $\Phi^{-1}\Phi'(\varrho_X) = \varrho_X$ and $\Phi^{-1}\Phi'(v(\mathcal{O}_X)) = v(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Hence $\Phi^{-1}\Phi'$ is the Fourier-Mukai transform whose kernel is $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma \otimes p_2^*(N)[2k]$, where $N \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)$ and Γ is the graph of $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. Hence $\Phi'(E) = \Phi(g_*(E \otimes N))[2k]$ ($E \in \mathbf{D}(X)$).

(3) We take a complex $E_1 \in \mathbf{D}(X)$ with $v(E_1) = v_1$. For a S-flat coherent sheaf $\mathbf{F}$ on $X \times S$ with $\mathbf{F}_{|X \times \{s\}} \in M_H(v_0)$ ($s \in S$), we set $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{F}} := \det p_{S_*}(\mathbf{F}^\vee \otimes E_1)$. If we replace $\mathbf{F}$ by $\mathbf{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{F}}^\vee$, then we have $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{F}} = \mathcal{O}_S$. We set $\mathbf{E}_1 := E \otimes \mathbf{P}$. Then by the identification $X \cong M_H(v_0)$ in the proof of (1), we have

$$(1 \times \phi_{pqH})^*(\mathbf{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{E}_1}^\vee) \cong (1 \times p^2nq)^*(\mathbf{E}),$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is the universal family in (2). We set $\beta := \frac{q}{pn}H$. For $G \in \mathbf{D}(X)$ with

$$v(G) = re^\beta + a\varrho_X + dH + D + (dH + D, \beta)\varrho_X,\ D \in H^\perp,$$

Lemma 2.6 below implies that

$$\begin{aligned}(p^2qn)^*(v(\Phi_{X\to X}^{\mathbf{E}^\vee}(G))) =&\phi_{pqH}^*(v(\mathbf{R}p_{\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)*}(\mathbf{E}_1^\vee \otimes G)) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{E}_1}^\vee)\\ =&\phi_{pqH}^*(v(\Phi_{X\to\mathrm{Pic}^0(X)}^{\mathbf{P}^\vee}(E^\vee \otimes G)) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{E}_1}^\vee)\\ =&(p^2qn)^*(p^2na + \tfrac{r}{p^2n}\varrho_X - dH + D) \otimes \phi_{pqH}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{E}_1}^\vee).\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

We take integers x, y with $ypn - xq = \pm 1$. Then we have $v_1 = (x^2, xyH, y^2n)$. Hence $v_1 = x^2e^\beta \pm \frac{x}{pn}(H + (H,\beta)\varrho_X) + \frac{1}{p^2n}\varrho_X$. By (2.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(p^2qn)^*(v(\mathcal{O}_X)) =&(p^2qn)^*(1 + \tfrac{x^2}{p^2n}\varrho_X - \frac{x}{pn}H) \otimes \phi_{pqH}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{E}_1}^\vee)\\ =&(p^2qn)^*(\mathcal{O}_X(-\frac{x}{pn}H)) \otimes \phi_{pqH}^*(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{E}_1}^\vee).\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Therefore the claim holds.

□

Let $m : X \times X \to X$ be the addition map. Then

$$m^*(\mathcal{O}_X(pqH)) \otimes p_1^*(\mathcal{O}_X(-pqH)) \otimes p_2^*(\mathcal{O}_X(-pqH))) \cong (1 \times \phi_{pqH})^*(\mathbf{P}).$$

We shall compute $\phi_{pqH}^*(\Phi_{X \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)}^{\mathbf{P}^\vee}(w))$ for $w \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 2.6. *We set* $\beta := \frac{q}{pn}H$. *For*

$$u := re^\beta + a\varrho_X + (dH + D) + (dH + D, \beta)\varrho_X,\ D \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_\mathbb{Q} \cap H^\perp,$$

we have

$$\phi_{pqH}^*(\Phi_{X \to X}^{\mathbf{P}^\vee}(v_0^\vee u)) = (p^2qn)^*(p^2na + \tfrac{r}{p^2n}\varrho_X - dH + D).$$

Proof. Let e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 be a basis of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $c_1(H) = e_1 \wedge e_2 + ne_3 \wedge e_4$. In $H^*(X \times X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, we identify $e_i \otimes 1$ with e_i and denote $1 \otimes e_i$ by f_i. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1(m^*(\mathcal{O}_X(H)) \otimes p_1^*(\mathcal{O}_X(-H)) \otimes p_2^*(\mathcal{O}_X(-H))) \\ =& (e_1 + f_1) \wedge (e_2 + f_2) + n(e_3 + f_3) \wedge (e_4 + f_4) - (e_1 \wedge e_2 + ne_3 \wedge e_4) - (f_1 \wedge f_2 + nf_3 \wedge f_4) \\ =& e_1 \wedge f_2 + f_1 \wedge e_2 + n(e_3 \wedge f_4 + f_3 \wedge e_4) =: \eta. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

We denote the class by η. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta^2}{2!} =& -(e_3 \wedge e_4)^* \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_2) - n^2(e_1 \wedge e_2)^* \wedge (f_3 \wedge f_4) \\ & + n((e_2 \wedge e_4)^* \wedge (f_2 \wedge f_4) + (e_1 \wedge e_4)^* \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_4) \\ & + (e_2 \wedge e_3)^* \wedge (f_2 \wedge f_3) + (e_1 \wedge e_3)^* \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_3)), \\ \frac{\eta^4}{4!} =& n^2(e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4) \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 \wedge f_4), \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where $\{(e_i \wedge e_j)^* \mid i, j\}$ is the dual basis of $\{e_i \wedge e_j \mid i, j\}$ via the intersection pairing. We note that $H^\perp$ is generated by

$$e_1 \wedge e_2 - ne_3 \wedge e_4,\ e_2 \wedge e_4,\ e_1 \wedge e_3,\ e_2 \wedge e_3,\ e_1 \wedge e_3.$$

Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{pqH}^*(\Phi_{X \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(X)}^{\mathbf{P}^\vee}(v_0^\vee u)) \\ =& p_{2*}(p_1^*(p^2ne^{-\beta}(re^\beta + a\varrho_X + (dH + D + (dH + D, \beta)\varrho_X))e^{pq\eta}) \\ =& p_{2*}(p_1^*(p^2n(r + a\varrho_X + dH + D))e^{pq\eta}) \\ =& p^2n^2(pq)^2(-dH + D) + p^2na + p^6n^3q^4r\varrho_X. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Since $(p^2qn)^*(x_i) = (p^2qn)^{2i}x_i$ for $x_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, we get the claim. □

By Lemma 2.5, every member of $\mathrm{Stab}_0(v)^*$ comes from an autoequivalence of $\mathbf{D}(X)$ and we have a homomorphism $\mathrm{Stab}_0(v)^* \to \mathrm{O}(H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}})$.

Lemma 2.7. *For a Mukai vector* $v = (r, \xi, a)$, *assume that* $(\xi^2) > 0$. *We set* $\xi := dH$, *where* H *is a primitive ample divisor and* $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. *If* $\sqrt{\langle v^2 \rangle / (\xi^2)} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, *then there is an autoequivalence* $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ *such that* Φ *acts on* $L := \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}H \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varrho_X$ *as an isometry of infinite order and* $\Phi(v) = v$.

Proof. By the proof of Proposition 2.3 (2), $\mathrm{Stab}_0(v)^*$ contains an element g of infinite order. By Lemma 2.5, we have a Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ inducing the action of g on L. □

3. THE SPACE OF STABILITY CONDITIONS AND THE POSITIVE CONE OF THE MODULI SPACES.

3.1. A polarization of $M_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$.

We shall explain a natural ample line bundle on the moduli space $M_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$, which is introduced in [15] and [2].

Let $v = (r, \xi, a)$ be a Mukai vector with $r \neq 0$. We set $\delta := \frac{\xi}{r}$. Then $v = re^\delta + a_\delta\varrho_X$. For $\beta = \delta + sH + D$ with $D \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_\mathbb{R} \cap H^\perp$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} d_\beta :=& \frac{(\xi - r\beta, H)}{(H^2)} = \frac{r(\delta - \beta, H)}{(H^2)}, \\ a_\beta :=& -\langle e^\beta, v \rangle = a_\delta + \frac{((\beta - \delta)^2)}{2}r. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Let

$$\xi_\omega := \frac{r\frac{(\omega^2)}{2} - a_\beta}{d_\beta}\left(H + \left(H, \frac{\xi}{r}\right)\varrho_X\right) - (H^2)\left(e^\beta - \frac{a_\beta}{r}\varrho_X\right) \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{R} \tag{3.2}$$

be a vector in [15, sect. 4.3]. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\beta \xi_\omega =& r\left(\frac{(\omega^2) - ((\beta-\delta)^2)}{2} + \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2r^2}\right)(H + (\delta, H)\varrho_X) - r(\delta - \beta, H)\left(e^\beta - \frac{a_\beta}{r}\varrho_X\right) \\ =& r\left(\frac{(\omega^2) - ((\beta-\delta)^2)}{2} + \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2r^2}\right)(H + (\delta, H)\varrho_X) \\ & - r(\delta - \beta, H)\left((\beta - \delta + (\beta - \delta, \delta)\varrho_X) + \left(e^\delta - \frac{a_\delta}{r}\varrho_X\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By taking a norm on $\mathrm{NS}(X)_\mathbb{R}$, we regard $C(\overline{\mathrm{Amp}(X)}_\mathbb{R})$ as a subset of $\overline{\mathrm{Amp}(X)}_\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.1. For $(\beta, H, t) \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\mathrm{Amp}(X)}_\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we set

$$\xi(\beta, H, t) := r\left(\frac{t^2(H^2) - ((\beta-\delta)^2)}{2} + \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2r^2}\right)(H + (\delta, H)\varrho_X) - r(\delta - \beta, H)\left(e^\beta - \frac{a_\beta}{r}\varrho_X\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.2.

$$\mathbb{R}_{>0}\xi(\beta, H, t) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}\mathrm{Im}(Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v)^{-1}e^{\beta + \sqrt{-1}tH}). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v) =& \frac{r}{2}t^2(H^2) + a_\beta + (\xi - r\beta, tH)\sqrt{-1} \\ =& r\frac{t^2(H^2) - ((\delta-\beta)^2)}{2} + \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2r} + r(\delta - \beta, tH)\sqrt{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

For the complex conjugate $\overline{Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v)}$ of $Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Im}(\overline{Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v)}e^{\beta+\sqrt{-1}tH}) \\ =& r\left(\frac{t^2(H^2) - ((\delta-\beta)^2)}{2} + \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2r^2}\right)(tH + (tH, \beta)\varrho_X) - r(\delta - \beta, tH)\left(e^\beta - \frac{t^2(H^2)}{2}\right) \\ =& t\xi(\beta, H, t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Hence the claim holds. □

Remark 3.3. The expression of $\xi(\beta, H, t)$ in Lemma 3.2 appeared in [2]. The difference of the sign comes from the difference of θ_v and $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}$.

Definition 3.4. For $v = (0, \xi, a) = a_\beta\varrho_X + (d_\beta H + D_\beta + (d_\beta H + D_\beta, \beta)\varrho_X)$, we also set

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\beta, H, t) :=& - a_\beta(H + (H, \beta)\varrho_X) - d_\beta(H^2)\left(e^\beta - \frac{t^2(H^2)}{2}\varrho_X\right) \\ =& ((\xi, \beta) - a)(H + (H, \beta)\varrho_X) - (\xi, H)\left(e^\beta - \frac{t^2(H^2)}{2}\varrho_X\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then $t\xi(\beta, H, t) = \mathrm{Im}(\overline{Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v)}e^{\beta+\sqrt{-1}tH})$.

We shall remark the behavior of $\xi(\beta, H, t)$ under the Fourier-Mukai transforms. Let $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}^{\alpha_1}(X_1)$ be a twisted Fourier-Mukai transform such that $\Phi(r_1 e^\gamma) = -\varrho_{X_1}$ and $\Phi(\varrho_X) = -r_1 e^{\gamma'}$, where α_1 is a representative of a suitable Brauer class. Then we can describe the cohomological Fourier-Mukai transform as

$$\Phi(re^\gamma + a\varrho_X + \xi + (\xi, \gamma)\varrho_X) = -\frac{r}{r_1}\varrho_{X_1} - r_1 a e^{\gamma'} + \frac{r_1}{|r_1|}(\widehat{\xi} + (\widehat{\xi}, \gamma')\varrho_{X_1}),$$

where $\xi \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ and $\widehat{\xi} := \frac{r_1}{|r_1|}c_1(\Phi(\xi + (\xi, \gamma)\varrho_X)) \in \mathrm{NS}(X_1)_\mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 3.5. By taking a locally free α_1-twisted stable sheaf G with $\chi(G, G) = 0$, we have a notion of Mukai vector, thus, we have a map ([14, Rem. 1.2.10]):

$$v_G : \mathbf{D}^{\alpha_1}(X_1) \to H^*(X_1, \mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{alg}}.$$

For $(\beta,\omega) \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\omega} :=& -\frac{1}{|r_1|}\frac{\frac{((\beta-\gamma)^2)-(\omega^2)}{2}\widehat{\omega}-(\beta-\gamma,\omega)(\widehat{\beta}-\widehat{\gamma})}{\left(\frac{((\beta-\gamma)^2)-(\omega^2)}{2}\right)^2+(\beta-\gamma,\omega)^2},\\
\widetilde{\beta} :=& \gamma'-\frac{1}{|r_1|}\frac{\frac{((\beta-\gamma)^2)-(\omega^2)}{2}(\widehat{\beta}-\widehat{\gamma})-(\beta-\gamma,\omega)\widehat{\omega}}{\left(\frac{((\beta-\gamma)^2)-(\omega^2)}{2}\right)^2+(\beta-\gamma,\omega)^2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.9}
$$

Then $(\widetilde{\beta},\widetilde{\omega}) \in \mathrm{NS}(X_1)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{Amp}(X_1)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

By [15, sect. 5.1], we get the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{D}(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "Z_{(\beta,\omega)}"'] & \mathbf{D}^{\alpha_1}(X_1) \arrow[d, "Z_{(\widetilde{\beta},\widetilde{\omega})}"] \\
\mathbb{C} \arrow[r, "\zeta^{-1}"'] & \mathbb{C}
\end{tikzcd}
\tag{3.10}
$$

where

$$\zeta = -r_1\left(\frac{((\gamma-\beta)^2)-(\omega^2)}{2}+\sqrt{-1}(\beta-\gamma,\omega)\right).$$

Proposition 3.6. *For $(\beta,H,t) \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we have $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\Phi(\xi(\beta,H,t)) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}\xi(\widetilde{\beta},H_1,t_1)$, where $t_1H_1 = \widetilde{tH}$.*

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R}_{>0}\Phi(\xi(\beta,H,t)) =& \mathbb{R}_{>0}\Phi(\mathrm{Im}(Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v)^{-1}e^{\beta+\sqrt{-1}tH}))\\
=& \mathbb{R}_{>0}\mathrm{Im}(Z_{(\beta,tH)}(v)^{-1}\Phi(e^{\beta+\sqrt{-1}tH}))\\
=& \mathbb{R}_{>0}\mathrm{Im}(Z_{(\widetilde{\beta},\widetilde{tH})}(\Phi(v))^{-1}\zeta^{-1}\zeta e^{\widetilde{\beta}+\sqrt{-1}\widetilde{tH}})\\
=& \mathbb{R}_{>0}\xi(\widetilde{\beta},H_1,t_1).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.11}
$$

□

Remark 3.7. We have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
v^{\perp} \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "\theta_{v,\beta,\omega}"'] & \Phi(v)^{\perp} \arrow[d, "\theta_{\Phi(v),\widetilde{\beta},\widetilde{\omega}}"] \\
\mathrm{NS}(K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)) \arrow[r, "\Phi_*"'] & \mathrm{NS}(K^{\alpha}_{(\widetilde{\beta},\widetilde{\omega})}(\Phi(v)))
\end{tikzcd}
\tag{3.12}
$$

where $K^{\alpha}_{(\widetilde{\beta},\widetilde{\omega})}(\Phi(v))$ is the Bogomolov factor of the moduli of $\sigma_{(\widetilde{\beta},\widetilde{\omega})}$-stable objects $M^{\alpha}_{(\widetilde{\beta},\widetilde{\omega})}(\Phi(v))$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{R}_{>0}\Phi_*(\theta_{v,\beta,\omega}(\xi(\beta',H',t'))) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}\theta_{\Phi(v),\widetilde{\beta},\widetilde{\omega}}(\xi(\widetilde{\beta'},H_1,t_1)),$$

where $t_1H_1 = \widetilde{t'H'}$.

3.2. **Stability conditions and the positive cone.** Assume that $r \neq 0$. We note that $\xi(\beta,H,t) \in \varrho_X^{\perp}$ if and only if $\delta-\beta \in H^{\perp}$. In this case, we have $((\delta-\beta)^2) \leq 0$, which implies that

$$\frac{(\omega^2)-((\beta-\delta)^2)}{2}+\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2r^2} > 0.$$

Therefore we get the following claim.

Lemma 3.8. *$\xi(\beta,H,t) \in \varrho_X^{\perp}$ if and only if $\xi(\beta,H,t) = r(\eta+(\eta,\delta)\varrho_X)$, $\eta \in \overline{\mathrm{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}}$. Moreover $\eta \in \mathrm{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if $tH \in \mathrm{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Lemma 3.9. *Assume that $(\beta-\delta,H) \neq 0$ and set*

$$\eta := \beta-\delta+\frac{1}{(\beta-\delta,H)}\left(\frac{t^2(H^2)-((\beta-\delta)^2)}{2}+\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2r^2}\right)H. \tag{3.13}$$

1. *$(\eta^2) \geq \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r^2}$. Moreover $(\eta^2) = \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r^2}$ if and only if (i) $(H^2)=0$ or (ii) $t=0$ and $((\beta-\delta)^2) = \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r^2}$.*
2. *$(\beta-\delta,H)(\eta,H') > 0$ for $H' \in \mathrm{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is sufficiently close to H.*

Proof. (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\eta^2) =&((\beta-\delta)^2)+2\left(\frac{t^2(H^2)-((\beta-\delta)^2)}{2}+\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2r^2}\right)+\frac{(H^2)}{(\beta-\delta,H)^2}\left(\frac{t^2(H^2)-((\beta-\delta)^2)}{2}+\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2r^2}\right)^2\\
=&t^2(H^2)+\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r^2}+\frac{(H^2)}{(\beta-\delta,H)^2}\left(\frac{t^2(H^2)-((\beta-\delta)^2)}{2}+\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2r^2}\right)^2 \qquad (3.14)\\
\geq&\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover the equality holds if and only if (β, H, t) satisfy (i) or (ii).

(2) It is sufficient to prove $(\beta-\delta, H)(\eta, H) > 0$. If $(H^2) = 0$, then $(\beta-\delta, H)(\eta, H) = (\beta-\delta, H)^2 > 0$. Assume that $(H^2) > 0$. We set $\beta-\delta = sH + D$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$, $D \in H^{\perp}$). Then

$$
(\beta-\delta,H)(\eta,H)=\frac{1}{2}\left((\beta-\delta,H)^2-(H^2)(D^2)+t^2(H^2)^2+\frac{(H^2)\langle v^2\rangle}{r^2}\right)>0. \tag{3.15}
$$

□

Definition 3.10. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^+(v^\perp)_k :=&\{x\in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}\otimes k \mid x\in v^\perp, \langle x^2\rangle>0, \langle x, H_0+(H_0,\delta)\varrho_X\rangle>0\},\\
\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_k} :=&\{x\in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}\otimes k \mid x\in v^\perp, \langle v^2\rangle\geq 0, \langle x, H_0+(H_0,\delta)\varrho_X\rangle>0\},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}
$$

where $k = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ and $H_0 \in \mathrm{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proposition 3.11. *We have a map*

$$
\begin{matrix}
\Xi: & \overline{\mathfrak{H}} & \to & C(H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}\otimes\mathbb{R})\\
& (\beta,H,t) & \mapsto & \xi(\beta,H,t)
\end{matrix} \tag{3.17}
$$

whose image is $C^+ := C(\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}})$. *Moreover if* tH *is ample, then* $\xi(\beta, H, t)$ *belongs to the interior of* C^+.

Proof. An element

$$
u=\eta+(\eta,\delta)\varrho_X+y(e^\delta+\tfrac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2r^2}\varrho_X)\in v^\perp\cap H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}\otimes\mathbb{R} \tag{3.18}
$$

satisfies $\langle u^2\rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle u, H + (H, \delta)\varrho_X\rangle > 0$ if and only if $(\eta^2) \geq y^2\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r^2}$ and $(\eta, H) > 0$. We first assume that $y \neq 0$. We set $\frac{\eta}{y} := xH + D$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$, $D \in H^\perp$) and

$$
\sigma_\pm := \pm\sqrt{\frac{\langle v^2\rangle - r^2(D^2)}{r^2(H^2)}}.
$$

Then the conditions are $x \geq \sigma_+$ if $y > 0$ and $x \leq \sigma_-$ if $y < 0$. If $y = 0$, then the condition is $(\eta^2) \geq 0$ and $(D, H) > 0$, that is, $\eta \in \overline{\mathrm{Amp}(X)}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We shall first prove that $\mathrm{im}(\Xi)$ contains C^+. By Lemma 3.8, we may assume that $y \neq 0$. We shall find (β, H, t) such that $\beta = \delta + sH + D$, ($s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $D \in H^\perp$) and $\xi(\beta, H, t) = u$. We set

$$
g(s,t) := \frac{(H^2)(s^2+t^2)+\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{r^2}-(D^2)}{2s(H^2)}. \tag{3.19}
$$

Then $g(s, 0)$ define continuous functions from $(0, \infty)$ to $[\sigma_+, \infty)$ and from $(-\infty, 0)$ to $(-\infty, \sigma_-]$. Hence we can take $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\xi(\delta + sH + D, H, 0) = u$. If $y = 0$, then Lemma 3.8 implies that $u \in \mathrm{im}\,\Xi$. Conversely for $\xi(\beta, H, t)$, Lemma 3.8 or Lemma 3.9 implies that $\xi(\beta, H, t) \in C^+$. Therefore the claim holds. □

Remark 3.12. If u in (3.18) belongs to $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, then there is (β, H, t) such that $\Xi(\beta, H, t) = u$, $\beta, H \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $t^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$: Indeed if $u \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$, then we may assume that $H \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. For $g(s, 0) \in \mathbb{Q}$, we can take (s', t') such that $s', t'^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $g(s', t') = g(s, 0)$. Hence the claim holds.

Proposition 3.13. $(\beta, H, t) \in W_{v_1}$ *if and only if* $\xi(\beta, H, t) \in v^\perp \cap v_1^\perp$.

Proof. We note that (1.5) is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\det\begin{pmatrix} a-(\xi,\beta)+r\frac{(\beta^2)-t^2(H^2)}{2} & a_1-(\xi_1,\beta)+r_1\frac{(\beta^2)-t^2(H^2)}{2}\\ -(\xi-r\beta,H) & -(\xi_1-r_1\beta,H)\end{pmatrix}\\
=&\begin{pmatrix} \frac{((\delta-\beta)^2)-t^2(H^2)}{2}-\frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{2r^2} & \langle -e^\beta+\frac{t^2(H^2)}{2}\varrho_X, v_2\rangle\\ -(\xi-r\beta,H) & -\langle H+(H,\beta)\varrho_X, v_2\rangle\end{pmatrix}\\
=&r\langle\xi(\beta,H,t),v_1\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}
$$

Hence the claim holds. □

Proof of Proposition 1.2. By Proposition 3.13 and Proposition 3.11, it is sufficient to find the condition $\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R}} \cap v_1^\perp \neq \emptyset$. We set $\eta := \langle v^2 \rangle v_1 - \langle v_1, v \rangle v \in v^\perp$. Then $v_1^\perp \cap v^\perp = \eta^\perp \cap v^\perp$. Since the signature of $v^\perp$ is $(1, \operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X))$, the condition is $(\eta^2) < 0$. Since

$$\langle \eta^2 \rangle = \langle v^2 \rangle (\langle v_1^2 \rangle \langle v^2 \rangle - \langle v_1, v \rangle^2),$$

we get the claim. $\square$

Definition 3.14. (1) A connected component D of $P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R} \setminus \cup_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{W}} v_1^\perp$ is a chamber.

(2) $D(\beta, H, t)$ is a chamber such that $\xi(\beta, H, t) \in D(\beta, H, t)$.

$\overline{D(\beta, H, t)}$ consists of $x \in \overline{P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\langle \xi(\beta, H, t), \pm v_1 \rangle > 0$ implies $\langle x, \pm v_1 \rangle \geq 0$, that is, $\langle \xi(\beta, H, t), v_1 \rangle \langle x, v_1 \rangle \geq 0$.

Proposition 3.15 ([15, Prop. 4.3.2])**.** *We fix a general* (β, H, t).

(1) *If* (β', H', t') *belongs to a chamber and* $H' \in \operatorname{Amp}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$, ${t'}^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\beta' \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$, *then* $\theta_{v,\beta',t'H'}(\xi(\beta', H', t'))$ *is an ample* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor of* $K_{(\beta', t'H')}(v)$.

(2) *We have a surjective map*

$$\varphi_{(\beta,H,t)} : \overline{\mathfrak{H}} \to C(\overline{P^+(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_\mathbb{R}}) \tag{3.21}$$

such that

$$\varphi_{(\beta,H,t)}((\beta', H', t')) := \mathbb{R}_{>0} \theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\xi(\beta', H', t')).$$

(3) *Let* $\mathcal{C}$ *be a chamber in* $\overline{\mathfrak{H}}$. *Then* $\Xi(\mathcal{C})$ *is the chamber* $D(\beta', H', t')$ $((\beta', H', t') \in \mathcal{C})$ *in* C^+ *and*

$$\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\overline{D(\beta, H, t)}) = \operatorname{Nef}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_\mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. (1) We write $\beta' = s'H' + D' + \delta$ $(D' \in {H'}^\perp)$. In the notation of [15, sect. 4.3], we note that $d_{\beta'} = d_{\delta+D'} - rs' > 0$ if and only if $d_{\beta'} - r\lambda = d_{\delta+D'} - r(s'+\lambda) > 0$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\phi_{(\beta',t'H')}(e^{\beta'+\lambda H'}) = \phi_{(\beta',t'H')}(v)$. Perturbing t' slightly, we may assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$. So the claim is a consequence of [15, Prop. 4.3.2], if $d_\beta > 0$.

If $d_\beta < 0$, then we apply [15, Prop. 4.3.2] to $M_{(\beta,tH)}(-v)$. Since ξ_ω for v is the same as that for $-v$ and $\theta_{-v,\beta,tH} = -\theta_{v,\beta,tH}$, $-\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\xi_\omega)$ is ample, which implies that $d_\beta \theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\xi_\omega)$ is ample.

(2) Since $\theta_{v,\beta,tH} : \overline{P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R}} \to \overline{P^+(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_\mathbb{R}}$ is an isomorphism, the claim follows from Proposition 3.11.

(3) By (1) and (2), $\theta_{\beta,H,t}(D(\beta, H, t))$ is contained in $\operatorname{Nef}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_\mathbb{R}$. Then the claim follows from [15, Cor. 4.3.3 (2)]. More precisely, we proved that $\xi(\beta', H', t') \in P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R} \cap v_1^\perp \cap \overline{D(\beta, H, t)}$ gives a contraction under the assumption $d_{\beta'} > 0$. For the case where $d_{\beta'} < 0$, we get the claim by the same reduction in (1). We next treat the case where $d_{\beta'} = 0$. If $r_1\xi - r\xi_1 \neq 0$, then W_{v_1} does not contain the set $d_{\beta'} = 0$ by Lemma 1.4 (1). For a general point of W_{v_1}, we can apply [15, Cor. 4.3.3 (2)]. Hence for any $\xi(\beta', H', t') \in P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R} \cap v_1^\perp \cap \overline{D(\beta, H, t)}$, $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\xi(\beta', H', t'))$ gives a contraction.

If $r_1\xi - r\xi_1 = 0$, then W_{v_1} is the set $d_{\beta'} = 0$ by Lemma 1.4 (1). In this case, $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\xi(\beta', H', t'))$ gives a contraction. $\square$

3.3. The movable cone of $K_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$.

Definition 3.16. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_k &:= \left\{ w \;\middle|\; \begin{matrix} w \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}} \text{ is primitive,} \\ \langle w^2 \rangle = 0, \langle v, w \rangle = k \end{matrix} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{I} &:= \bigcup_{k=0}^{2} \mathfrak{I}_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

By the classification of walls in [14], the following is obvious.

Lemma 3.17. *For* $v_1 \in \mathfrak{I}_1$ *and* $w_1 \in \mathfrak{W}$ *with* $w_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}v_1$, $v_1^\perp \cap w_1^\perp \cap P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$.

Proof. If $v_1^\perp \cap w_1^\perp \cap P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$, then we have $\xi \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}}$ such that $\xi \in v_1^\perp \cap w_1^\perp \cap v^\perp$ and $\langle \xi^2 \rangle > 0$. Since $v_1 \in \mathfrak{I}_1$, we have a decomposition $v = \ell v_1 + v_2$ where $\langle v_1^2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = 1$ and $\ell = \langle v^2 \rangle / 2$. Then we have a decomposition $\xi^\perp = \mathbb{Z}v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_2 \perp L$. Since $\langle \xi^2 \rangle > 0$, L is negative definite. We set $w_1 := xv_1 + yv_2 + \eta$ and $w_2 := (\ell - x)v_1 + (1 - y)v_2 - \eta$ $(x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \eta \in L)$. By replacing w_1 by w_2 if necessary, we may assume that $y \geq 1$. Since $w_1 \in \mathfrak{W}$, $\langle w_1^2 \rangle \geq 0, \langle w_2^2 \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle > 0$. Thus we have $2xy + (\eta^2) \geq 0$, $2(\ell - x)(1 - y) + (\eta^2) \geq 0$ and $y(\ell - x) + x(1 - y) - (\eta^2) > 0$. On the other hand, $y(\ell - x) + x(1 - y) - (\eta^2) \leq y(\ell - x) + x(1 - y) + 2(\ell - x)(1 - y) = \ell(2 - y) - x$. If $y \geq 2$, then $xy \geq -(\eta^2)/2 \geq 0$ implies that $x \geq 0$. Hence $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \leq 0$. If $y = 1$, then we have $w_1 \in \mathbb{Q}v_1$. Therefore $v_1^\perp \cap w_1^\perp \cap P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$. $\square$

Remark 3.18. For $w \in \mathfrak{I}_0$, we have $W_w = \mathbb{R}w \cap \overline{P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R}}$.

We also have the following result.

Proposition 3.19. *Assume that v is a primitive Mukai vector with $\ell := \langle v^2 \rangle/2 \geq 4$. For $v_1, w_1 \in \mathfrak{I}_2$ with $w_1 \notin \mathbb{Z}v_1$, $v_1^\perp \cap w_1^\perp \cap P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. If $v_1^\perp \cap w_1^\perp \cap P^+(v^\perp)_\mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$, we can take $\xi \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}}$ such that $\xi \in v_1^\perp \cap w_1^\perp \cap v^\perp$ and $\langle \xi^2 \rangle > 0$. We set $v_2 := v - v_1$ and $w_2 := w - w_1$. Then $v - \ell v_1, v - \ell w_1 \in \xi^\perp \cap v^\perp \cap H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}}$. Since $\xi^\perp \cap v^\perp \cap H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}}$ is negative definite, we have $\langle v - \ell v_1, v - \ell v_1 \rangle^2 \leq \langle (v - \ell v_1)^2 \rangle \langle (v - \ell w_1)^2 \rangle$. Moreover if the equality holds, then $v - \ell v_1 = \pm(v - \ell w_1)$ by $\langle (v - \ell v_1)^2 \rangle = \langle (v - \ell w_1)^2 \rangle = -2\ell$. In this case, we have $v_1 = w_1$ or $2v = \ell(v_1 + w_1)$. By the primitivity of v and $\ell \geq 4$, The latter case does not occur. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\ell^2 =& \langle (v - \ell v_1)^2 \rangle \langle (v - \ell w_1)^2 \rangle \\ >& \langle v - \ell v_1, v - \ell v_1 \rangle^2 = (\ell^2 \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle - 2\ell)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Thus $-2\ell < \ell^2 \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle - 2\ell < 2\ell$, which implies that $0 < \ell \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle < 4$. Since $\ell \geq 4$, this does not occur. Therefore the claim holds. □

Remark 3.20. If $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X) \geq 2$, then $\mathfrak{I}_0 \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\#\mathfrak{I}_0 = \infty$.

Definition 3.21. Let v be a primitive Mukai vector. For a primitive isotropic Mukai vector u with $\langle v, u \rangle = 1, 2$, we set $d_u := v - \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{\langle v, u \rangle} u$.

Lemma 3.22. *Let u be an isotropic Mukai vector with $\langle v, u \rangle = 1, 2$.*

1. *d_u is a primitive vector with $\langle d_u^2 \rangle = -\langle v^2 \rangle$.*
2. *d_u defines a reflection of the lattice $v^\perp$:*

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R_u: & v^\perp & \to & v^\perp \\ & x & \mapsto & x - \frac{2\langle d_u, x \rangle}{\langle d_u, d_u \rangle} d_u \end{array} \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. (1) We set $d_u = kd_1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\langle v^2 \rangle = k^2 \langle d_1^2 \rangle + 2k\ell \frac{2}{\langle v, u \rangle} \langle d_1, u \rangle \in 2k\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $v = kd_1 + \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{\langle v, u \rangle} u$ is divisible by k. By the primitivity of v, $k = 1$. $\langle d_u^2 \rangle = -\langle v^2 \rangle$ is easy.

(2) For $x \in v^\perp$, we have $\langle d_u, x \rangle = -\frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{\langle v, u \rangle} \langle x, u \rangle$. Hence

$$R_u(x) = x + \frac{2}{\langle v, u \rangle} \langle x, u \rangle d_u \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}}.$$

Obviously R_u preserves the bilinear form. Therefore R_u is an isometry of $v^\perp$. □

Proposition 3.23. *Let v_1 be an isotropic Mukai vector such that $\langle v, v_1 \rangle = 1$. Then $v = \ell v_1 + v_2$, where $\ell := \langle v^2 \rangle/2$, $\langle v_2^2 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = 1$. In this case, we set $Y := M_H(v_1)$ and let $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(Y)$ be a Fourier-Mukai transform such that $\Phi(v_1) = (0, 0, -1)$ and $\Phi(v_2) = (1, 0, 0)$. Then the contravariant Fourier-Mukai transform $\Psi := [1] \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ \mathcal{D}_Y \circ \Phi$ gives an isometry of $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}}$ whose restriction to $v^\perp$ is R_{v_1}.*

Proof. We have a decomposition

$$H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}} = (\mathbb{Z}v_1 + \mathbb{Z}v_2) \perp L,$$

where L is a lattice with $\Phi(L) = \operatorname{NS}(Y)$. Hence we see that $\Psi(w) = w$ for $w \in L$, $\Psi(v_1) = -v_1$ and $\Psi(v_2) = -v_2$. Since $v, d_{v_1} \in (\mathbb{Z}v_1 + \mathbb{Z}v_2)$, we get the claim. □

Definition 3.24. Let W be a wall for v. Let (β, H, t) be a point of W and (β', H', t') be a point in an adjacent chamber. Then we define *the codimension of the wall W* by

$$\operatorname{codim} W := \min_{v = \sum_i v_i} \left\{ \sum_{i<j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle - \left(\sum_i (\dim \mathcal{M}_H^{\beta'}(v_i)^{ss} - \langle v_i^2 \rangle) \right) + 1 \right\}, \tag{3.25}$$

where $v = \sum_i v_i$ are decompositions of v such that $\phi_{(\beta, tH)}(v) = \phi_{(\beta, tH)}(v_i)$ and $\phi_{(\beta', t'H')}(v_i) > \phi_{(\beta', t'H')}(v_j)$, $i < j$.

If $\operatorname{codim} W \geq 2$, then the proof of [14, Prop. 4.2.5] implies that

$$\dim\{E \in M_{(\beta', t'H')}(v) \mid E \text{ is not } \sigma_{(\beta, tH)}\text{-stable} \} \leq \langle v^2 \rangle.$$

Proposition 3.25. *Let v be a primitive Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 6$. Let W be a wall for v and take $(\beta, H, t) \in W$ such that $\beta \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$ and $H \in \operatorname{Amp}(X)_\mathbb{Q}$.*

1. *W is a codimension 0 wall if and only if W is defined by v_1 such that $v = \ell v_1 + v_2$, $\langle v_1^2 \rangle = \langle v_2^2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = 1$ and $\ell = \langle v^2 \rangle/2$.*

(2) *We take* $(\beta_\pm, \omega_\pm)$ *from chambers separated by a codimension 0 wall* W. *We may assume that* $\phi_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(E_1) < \phi_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(E)$ *for* $E_1 \in M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v_1)$ *and* $E \in M_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(v)$. *Then* $\theta_{v,\beta_\pm,\omega_\pm}(\xi(\beta, H, t))$ *give divisorial contractions. Let* $D_\pm \subset M_{(\beta_\pm,\omega_\pm)}(v)$ *are the exceptional divisors. Then* $D_\pm$ *are irreducible divisors such that* $(D_\pm)_{|K_{(\beta_\pm,\omega_\pm)}(v)} = \pm 2\theta_{v,\beta_\pm,\omega_\pm}(d_{v_1}) \in \operatorname{NS}(K_{(\beta_\pm,\omega_\pm)}(v))$.

Proof. (1) is a consequence of [14, Lem. 4.2.4 (2)]. Let $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(Y)$ be the Fourier-Mukai transform in Proposition 3.23. By [14, Prop. 4.1.5], Φ induces an isomorphism $M_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(v) \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(Y) \times \operatorname{Hilb}^\ell_Y$. By using the Hilbert-Chow morphism, we have a divisorial contraction $M_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(v) \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(Y) \times \operatorname{Hilb}^\ell_Y \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(Y) \times S^\ell Y$. By using Lemma 3.26, we get the claim. Since $\mathcal{D}_Y \circ \Phi$ gives an isomorphism $M_{(\beta_-,\omega_-)}(v) \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(Y) \times \operatorname{Hilb}^\ell_Y$ by [14, Prop. 4.1.5], we also get the claim. □

Lemma 3.26. *Let* $v = (1, 0, -\ell)$ *be a primitive Mukai vector with* $\ell \geq 3$. *We set* $v_1 = (0, 0, -1)$ *and* $v_2 = (1, 0, 0)$. *Then* $d_{v_1} = v - 2\ell v_1 = (1, 0, \ell)$. *For the Hilbert-Chow morphism* $\operatorname{Hilb}^\ell_X \to S^\ell X$, *the exceptional divisor* D *is divisible by 2 and satisfies* $D_{|K_H(v)} = 2\theta_v((1, 0, \ell)) = 2\theta_v(d_{v_1})$.

Proposition 3.27. *Let* v *be a primitive Mukai vector with* $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 6$. *Let* W *be a wall for* v *and take* $(\beta, H, t) \in W$ *such that* $\beta \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *and* $H \in \operatorname{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

(1) W *is a codimension 1 wall if and only if* W *is defined by* v_1 *such that*
 (i) $v = v_1 + v_2$, $\langle v_1^2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle v_2^2 \rangle \geq 0$, $\langle v, v_1 \rangle = 2$ *and* v_1 *is primitive or*
 (ii) $v = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$, $\langle v_i^2 \rangle = 0$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$, $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle = \langle v_3, v_1 \rangle = 1$.
 For the second case, $\langle v^2 \rangle = 6$, W_{v_1} *and* W_{v_2} *intersect transversely and* $(\beta, H, t) \in W_{v_1} \cap W_{v_2}$.

(2) *Assume that* (β, H, t) *belongs to exactly one wall* W. *We take* $(\beta_\pm, \omega_\pm)$ *from chambers separated by a codimension 1 wall* W. *In the notation of (1), we may assume that* $\phi_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(E_1) < \phi_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(E)$ *for* $E_1 \in M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v_1)$ *and* $E \in M_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(v)$. *We set*

$$\begin{aligned} D_+ &:= \{E \in M_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(v) \mid \operatorname{Hom}(E_1, E) \neq 0, E_1 \in M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v_1)\}, \\ D_- &:= \{E \in M_{(\beta_-,\omega_-)}(v) \mid \operatorname{Hom}(E, E_1) \neq 0, E_1 \in M_{(\beta,\omega)}(v_1)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Then
 (a) $D_\pm$ *are non-empty and irreducible divisors.*
 (b) $(D_\pm)_{|K_{(\beta_\pm,\omega_\pm)}(v)} = \pm\theta_{v,\beta_\pm,\omega_\pm}(d_{v_1}) \in \operatorname{NS}(K_{(\beta_\pm,\omega_\pm)}(v))$. *In particular,* $D_\pm$ *are primitive.*
 (c) $\theta_{v,\beta_\pm,\omega_\pm}(\xi(\beta, H, t))$ *gives contractions of* $D_\pm$.

Proof. (1) The classification of codimension 1 walls in [14, Lem. 4.2.4 (2), Prop. 4.2.5] imply that W is defined by v_1 with the required properties. It is easy to see that v_1, v_2, v_3 spans a lattice of rank 3 and $v_1^\perp \cap v_2^\perp = \mathbb{Z}(v_3 - v_1 - v_2)$. Hence W_{v_1} and W_{v_2} intersect transversely.

(2) $D_+ = \theta_{v,\beta_+,\omega_+}(d_{v_1})$ is a consequence of [14, Lem. 4.4.1] and $D_- = -\theta_{v,\beta_-,\omega_-}(d_{v_1})$ follows from [14, Prop. 4.4.2]. By Lemma 3.22 (1), $D_\pm$ are primitive.

The non-emptyness and the contractability of $D_\pm$ are showed in the proof of [15, Cor. 4.3.3]. □

Remark 3.28. For the wall W in Propisition 3.27, we have an isomorphism $f : M_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(v) \to M_{(\beta_-,\omega_-)}(v)$ such that $f_* \circ \theta_{v,\beta_+,\omega_+} = \theta_{v,\beta_-,\omega_-} \circ R_{v_1}$: Indeed we have a map f as a birational map. We set $\omega_+ := tH_+$. Then $\theta_{v,\beta_+,\omega_+}(\xi(\beta_+, H_+, t)) \in \operatorname{NS}(M_{(\beta_+,\omega_+)}(v))$ is relatively ample over $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X) \times X$. Since $\theta_{v,\beta_-,\omega_-}(R_{v_1}(\xi(\beta_+, H_+, t))) \in \operatorname{NS}(M_{(\beta_-,\omega_-)}(v))$ is relatively ample over $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X) \times X$, f is an isomorphism. For the wall W in Propisition 3.25, we also have a similar isomorphism f by using Fourier-Mukai transform Ψ in Proposition 3.23.

The following results fit in the general result of Markman [9] on the movable cone of irreducible symplectic manifolds.

Theorem 3.29. *Let* (X, H) *be a polarized abelian surface* X. *Let* v *be a primitive Mukai vector with* $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 6$. *We take* $(\beta, H, t) \in \mathfrak{H} \setminus \cup_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{W}} W_{v_1}$ *such that* $\beta \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *and* $tH \in \operatorname{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

(1) *Let* $\mathcal{D}(\beta, tH)$ *be a connected component of* $P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \cup_{u \in \mathfrak{I}} u^\perp$ *containing* $\xi(\beta, H, t)$. *Then*

$$\overline{\operatorname{Mov}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{R}}} = \theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\overline{\mathcal{D}(\beta, tH)}). \tag{3.27}$$

Moreover $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}} \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(\beta, tH)}) \subset \operatorname{Mov}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

(2) *For a general* $x \in \operatorname{Mov}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *of the boundary defined by* $\langle x, u \rangle = 0$ $(u \in \mathfrak{I}_i)$, $\theta_v(x)$ *defines a divisorial contraction. The exceptional divisor is primitive in* $\operatorname{NS}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))$, *if* $u \in \mathfrak{I}_2$ *and the exceptional divisor is divisible by 2 in* $\operatorname{NS}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))$, *if* $u \in \mathfrak{I}_1$.

Proof. (1) We note that

$$\operatorname{Mov}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{R}} \subset C(\overline{P^+(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{R}}}) = \theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}}) \tag{3.28}$$

and

$$\bigcup_{(\beta',t'H')\in\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)} \overline{D(\beta',H',t')} = \overline{\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)}.$$

Assume that $(\beta',t'H')\in\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)$. Since there is no wall of codimension 0,1, we have a natural birational identification $K_{(\beta,tH)}(v)\cdots\to K_{(\beta',\omega')}(v)$ with an identification $\mathrm{NS}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))\to\mathrm{NS}(K_{(\beta',\omega')}(v))$. Hence

$$\bigcup_{(\beta',t'H')\in\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)} \theta_{v,\beta,tH}(D(\beta',H',t'))\subset \mathrm{Mov}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and

$$\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\overline{\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)}) = \bigcup_{(\beta',t'H')\in\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)} \theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\overline{D(\beta',H',t')})\subset \overline{\mathrm{Mov}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

Assume that $(\beta',t'H')\not\in\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)$. We set $\eta_x := x\xi(\beta',H',t')+(1-x)\xi(\beta,H,t)$ $(x\in[0,1])$. If $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\xi(\beta',H',t'))$ is movable, then $L_x:=\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\eta_x)$ is movable for $0\le x\le 1$. We take an adjacent wall $u^\perp$ $(u\in\mathfrak{I})$ of $\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)$ separating $\xi(\beta',H',t')$ and $\xi(\beta,H,t)$. Then we find $x_0\in\mathbb{Q}\cap(0,1)$ such that $\langle\eta_{x_0},u\rangle=0$. Then $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\eta_{x_0})$ gives a divisorial contraction. Let C be a general curve contracted by L_{x_0}. Since $L_1=\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\xi(\beta',H',t'))$ is movable, we may assume that C is not containd in its base locus. Then $(L_1,C)\ge 0$. Since $(L_0,C)>0$, we have $(L_{x_0},C)>0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\xi(\beta',H',t'))$ is not movable.

Assume that $x\in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$ belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)}$. If $(x^2)>0$, then there are finitely many walls in a neighborhood of x. Hence we can take $x_1,x_2\in P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $x_1\in\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)$, x_2 belongs to another chamber $\mathcal{D}(\beta',t'H')$ and the segment connecting x_1,x_2 passes x. Then $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(x)$ is nef and big, and gives a divisorial contraction. In particular, $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(x)\in\mathrm{Mov}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

If $(x^2)=0$, then see Proposition 3.36. Therefore (1) holds.

(2) is a consequence of Proposition 3.25 and 4.13. □

Remark 3.30. For $u\in\mathfrak{I}_0$, $u^\perp$ is a tangent of $\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}}$.

Corollary 3.31. *Keep notations in Theorem 3.29 Let (M,L) be a pair of holomorphic symplectic manifold M and an ample divisor L on M. If M is birationaly equivalent to $K_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$, then there is a pair $(\beta',t'H')\in\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)$ such that $M\cong K_{(\beta',t'H')}(v)$ and $\mathbb{R}_{>0}L$ corresponds to $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\theta_{v,\beta,\omega}(\xi(\beta',H',t'))$.*

Proof. We have a birational map $f:M\to K_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$ with an isomorphism $f_*:\mathrm{NS}(M)\to\mathrm{NS}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))$. Then $f_*(L)\in\mathrm{Mov}(K_{(\beta,tH)})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We take $(\beta',H',t')\in\mathfrak{H}$ such that $f_*(L)\in\mathbb{R}_{>0}\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(\xi(\beta',H',t'))$ and $\beta',H'\in\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (see Remark 3.12). For the birational map $g:K_{(\beta,tH)}(v)\to K_{(\beta',t'H')}(v)$, $L':=(g\circ f)_*(L)$ is ample. We note that $g\circ f$ induces an isomorphism

$$\oplus_{n\ge0}H^0(M,\mathcal{O}_M(nL))\cong\oplus_{n\ge0}H^0(K_{(\beta',t'H')},\mathcal{O}_{K_{(\beta',t'H')}}(nL')).$$

Then the ampleness of L and L' imply that $g\circ f:M\to K_{(\beta',t'H')}$ is an isomorphism. □

Corollary 3.32. *Keep notations in Theorem 3.29*

(1) *Assume that $\mathfrak{I}_1=\mathfrak{I}_2=\emptyset$, that is,*

$$\min\{\langle v,w\rangle>0\mid\langle w^2\rangle=0\}\ge 3. \tag{3.29}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{D}(\beta,tH)=P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Mov}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{Q}}=\overline{P^+(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))}_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

(2) *Assume that $\mathfrak{I}_1=\emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{I}_2\neq\emptyset$, that is,*

$$\min\{\langle v,w\rangle>0\mid\langle w^2\rangle=0\}=2. \tag{3.30}$$

For every divisorial contraction from a birational model of $K_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$, the exceptional divisor is primitive in $\mathrm{NS}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))$.

(3) *Assume that $\mathfrak{I}_1\neq\emptyset$, that is,*

$$\min\{\langle v,w\rangle>0\mid\langle w^2\rangle=0\}=1. \tag{3.31}$$

Then there is a divisorial contraction from a birational model of $K_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$ such that the exceptional divisor is a prime divisor and divisible by 2 in $\mathrm{NS}(K_{(\beta,tH)}(v))$.

Let us study the structure of walls in a neighborhood of a rational point of $\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}}\setminus P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let A be a compact subset of $P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and u an isotropic Mukai vector in the boundary of $\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}}$. Let $\overline{uA}$ be the cone spanned by u and A. We note that $\mathfrak{W}_A:=\{v_1\in\mathfrak{W}\mid A\cap v_1^\perp\neq\emptyset\}$ is a finite set. We fix a point $a\in A$ which does not lie on any wall and assume that there is no wall between u and a (cf. Remark 3.35).

Lemma 3.33. $v_1\in\mathfrak{W}$ *satisfies $v_1^\perp\cap\overline{uA}\neq\emptyset$ if and only if $v_1^\perp\cap(A\cup\{u\})\neq\emptyset$.*

Proof. Assume that $w \in v_1^{\perp} \cap \overline{uA}$. We take $w' \in A$ such that w belongs to the segment $\overline{uw'}$ connecting u and w'. Assume that $\langle v_1, u\rangle \neq 0$. If $v_1^{\perp} \cap A = \emptyset$, then $\langle v_1, u\rangle\langle v_1, w'\rangle < 0$. Since there is no wall between u and a, we have $\langle v_1, u\rangle\langle v_1, a\rangle > 0$. Then w' and a are separated by the hyperplane $v_1^{\perp}$. Therefore there is a point $x \in A$ with $x \in v_1^{\perp}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $v_1^{\perp} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

Proposition 3.34. (1) *$\{v_1 \in \mathfrak{W} \mid v_1^{\perp} \cap (\overline{uA} \setminus \{u\}) \neq \emptyset\}$ is a finite set.*

(2) *There is an open neighborhood of u such that $\{v_1 \in \mathfrak{W} \mid v_1^{\perp} \cap U \cap (\overline{uA} \setminus \{u\}) \neq \emptyset\} \subset u^{\perp}$. In particular the set of walls is finite in $U \cap \overline{uA}$ and all walls pass the point u.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.33, $\{v_1 \in \mathfrak{W} \mid v_1^{\perp} \cap (\overline{uA} \setminus \{u\}) \neq \emptyset\} \subset \mathfrak{W}_A$. Hence (1) holds. (2) easily follow from (1). $\square$

Remark 3.35. Assume that e^{β} satisfies $\langle e^{\beta}, v\rangle = 0$. Then we can set $v = re^{\beta} + \xi + (\xi, \beta)\varrho_X$. We set

$$B := \{v_1 \in \mathfrak{W} \mid e^{\beta} \in v_1^{\perp}\}.$$

For $v_1 \in B$, we can set $v_1 := r_1 e^{\beta} + \xi_1 + (\xi_1, \beta)\varrho_X$. Since $v_2 := v - v_1 \in B$, we also set $v_2 := r_2 e^{\beta} + \xi_2 + (\xi_2, \beta)\varrho_X$. Since $0 < \langle v_1, v_2\rangle = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, $\xi_1 \neq 0$ and $\xi_2 \neq 0$. If (β, ω') belongs to the wall defined by v_1, then $(\xi_1^2), (\xi_2^2) \geq 0$ implies that both of $n\xi_1$ and $n\xi_2$ are effective, or both of $-n\xi_1$ and $-n\xi_2$ are effective, where n is the denominator of β. In particular, $|(\xi_1, H)| < |(\xi, H)|$ for all ample divisor H. Then we also see that the set of ξ_1 is finite.

If $r_1 = r\frac{(\xi_1, H)}{(\xi, H)}$, then $|r_1| < |r|$. Therefore

$$B' := \{v_1 \in B \mid (r_1\xi - r\xi_1, H) = 0 \text{ for some } H \in \operatorname{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}\ \}$$

is a finite set. We take $H \in \operatorname{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $(H, r_1\xi - r\xi_1) \neq 0$ for all $v_1 \in B'$. Then (β, H, t) $(t \ll 1)$ belongs to a chamber.

Proposition 3.36. *Let u be a primitive and isotropic Mukai vector with $u \in \overline{P^+(v^{\perp})_{\mathbb{R}}}$. We take $(\beta, H, t) \in \mathfrak{H} \setminus \cup_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{W}} W_{v_1}$ such that $\xi(\beta, H, t)$ and u are not separated by a wall. Then $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(u)$ gives a Lagrangian fibration $K_{(\beta,tH)}(v) \to \mathbb{P}^{\langle v^2\rangle/2-1}$.*

Proof. We take a Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ such that $\operatorname{rk}\Phi(u) \neq 0$. Since Φ induces an isomorphism $M_{(\beta,tH)}(v) \to M_{(\beta_1,t_1H_1)}(v)$ with $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\Phi(\xi(\beta_1, H_1, t_1)) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}\xi(\beta, H, t)$, we may assume that $\operatorname{rk} u \neq 0$. Then we have $u = re^{\beta'}$ with $r \neq 0$. We take $w \in \mathfrak{I}_0$ such that $c_1(w)/\operatorname{rk} w = \beta'$, $\operatorname{rk} w > 0$. We set $Y := M_H(w)$ and let $\mathbf{E}$ be the universal family as twisted objects. Then we have (twisted) Fourier-Mukai transforms $\Phi_{X \to Y}^{\mathbf{E}^{\vee}[k]} : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}^{\alpha}(Y)$, where α are suitable 2-cocycles of $\mathcal{O}_Y^{\times}$ defining $\mathbf{E}^{\vee}$ and $k = 1$ for $(\delta - \beta', H) > 0$, $k = 2$ for $(\delta - \beta', H) < 0$. We set $v' = \Phi_{X \to Y}^{\mathbf{E}^{\vee}[k]}(v)$. Since $(\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{tH}, s)$ does not lie on any wall for $s \geq 1$, we have $M_{(\widetilde{\beta}, s\widetilde{tH})}(v') \cong M_{\widetilde{tH}}^{\alpha}(v')$ for $s \geq 1$. Thus we get an isomorphism $M_{(\beta,tH)}(v) \to M_{\widetilde{tH}}^{\alpha}(v')$ with $\operatorname{rk} v' = 0$. We note that the scheme-theoretic support $\operatorname{Div}(E)$ of a purely 1-dimensional sheaf is well-defined even for a twisted sheaf. Hence we have a morphism $f : M_{\widetilde{tH}}^{\alpha}(v') \to \operatorname{Hilb}_Y^{\eta}$ by sending $E \in M_{\widetilde{tH}}^{\alpha}(v')$ to $\operatorname{Div}(E)$, where $\operatorname{Hilb}_Y^{\eta}$ is the Hilbert scheme of effective divisors D on Y with $\eta = c_1(D)$. For a smooth divisor D, $f^{-1}(D) \cong \operatorname{Pic}^0(D)$. Hence f is dominant, which implies f is surjective. Therefore we get a surjective morphism $M_{(\beta,tH)}(v) \to \operatorname{Hilb}_Y^{\eta}$. Combining with the properties of the albanese map, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_{(\beta,tH)}(v) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Hilb}_Y^{\eta} \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Pic}^0(X) \times X \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Pic}^0(Y)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.32}$$

Hence we get a morphism $K_{(\beta,tH)}(v) \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(D)))$, where $D \in \operatorname{Hilb}_Y^{\eta}$. Then we see that $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(u)$ comes from $\mathbb{P}(H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(D)))$. Thus $\theta_{v,\beta,tH}(u)$ gives a Lagrangian fibration. $\square$

3.4. **The birational classes of the moduli spaces of rank 1 sheaves.**

Proposition 3.37. *Let (X, H) be a polarized abelian surface. Let $v = (r, \xi, a)$ be a Mukai vector such that $r > 0$ and $2\ell := \langle v^2\rangle \geq 6$. Then $M_H(v)$ is birationally equivalent to $\operatorname{Pic}^0(Y) \times \operatorname{Hilb}_Y^{\ell}$ if and only if there is an isotropic Mukai vector $w \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}}$ with $\langle v, w\rangle = 1$, where Y is an abelian surface.*

Proof. If there is an isotropic Mukai vector w with $\langle v, w\rangle = 1$, then $M_H(w)$ is a fine moduli space and the claim follows by [25, Cor. 0.3].

Conversely if $M_H(v)$ is birationally equivalent to $\operatorname{Pic}^0(Y) \times \operatorname{Hilb}_Y^{\ell}$, then we have a birational map $f : K_H(v) \to K_{H'}(1, 0, -\ell)$, where H' is an ample divisor on Y. Then we have an isomorphism $f_* : \operatorname{NS}(K_H(v)) \to \operatorname{NS}(K_{H'}(1, 0, -\ell))$. By the isomorphism f_*, the movable cones are isomorphic. By Thoerem 4.14, $\mathfrak{I}_1 \neq \emptyset$. $\square$

Remark 3.38. Proposition 3.37 also follows from [12, Lem. 4.9]. Indeed they characterize the generalized Kummer variety in terms of the class of the stably prime exceptional divisor δ such that 2δ should corresponds to the diagonal divisor. It implies the existence of an isotropic vector w in Proposition 3.37.

Remark 3.39. If

$$\min\{\langle v, w\rangle > 0 \mid \langle w^2\rangle = 0\} \geq 3, \tag{3.33}$$

then $M_H(v)$ is not birationally equivalent to any moduli space $M_{H'}(v')$ on an abelian surface Y with $\operatorname{rk} v' = 2$.

The following result was conjecture by Mukai [19].

Corollary 3.40. *Let (X, H) be a principally polarized abelian surface with $\operatorname{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$. Let $v = (r, dH, a)$ be a Mukai vector with $\ell := d^2 - ra \geq 3$. Then $M_H(v)$ is birationally equivalent to $X \times \operatorname{Hilb}^{\ell}_X$ if and only if the quadratic equation $rx^2 + 2dxy + ay^2 = \pm 1$ has an integer valued solution.*

Indeed primitive isotropic Mukai vectors are described as $w = \pm(p^2, -pqH, q^2)$, $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\langle v, w\rangle = \mp(rq^2 + 2rpq + ap^2)$. Hence the claim follows from Proposition 3.37.

3.5. **Walls for X with $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{NS}(X) \geq 2$.** We shall show that there are many walls by using Fourier-Mukai transforms. We set

$$Q_\ell := \{\xi \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid (\xi^2) = 2\ell\}. \tag{3.34}$$

Lemma 3.41. *We set $v = (r, \xi_0, a)$ ($r \neq 0$) and $\ell := \langle v^2\rangle/2$. An isotropic vector $w \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\langle w, v\rangle = 0$ if and only if $w = (\operatorname{rk} w)e^{\xi_0/r+\xi}$ with $r\xi \in Q_\ell$ or $w = (0, \xi, (\xi, \xi_0)/r)$ with $(\xi^2) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $\operatorname{rk} w \neq 0$ and set $w = (\operatorname{rk} w)e^{\xi_0/r+\xi}$. Since $v = re^{\xi_0/r} - \frac{\ell}{r}\varrho_X$, the condition is $0 = \langle e^{\xi_0/r+\xi}, v\rangle = -r(\xi^2)/2 + \ell/r$. Thus $r\xi \in Q_\ell$.

If $\operatorname{rk} w = 0$, then we set $w = e^{\xi_0/r}(0, \xi, a)$ with $(\xi^2) = 0$. Then the condition is $a = 0$, which implies $w = (0, \xi, (\xi, \xi_0)/r)$. □

Lemma 3.42. *Assume that $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{NS}(X) \geq 2$. For $\xi_0 \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$ and an ample divisor ξ, there is $\xi_1 \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$ such that $\xi_1 = \xi_0 + r(k\xi + \eta)$ ($k \gg -(\eta^2)$, $\eta \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$) and $\sqrt{2\ell/(\xi_1^2)} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. We take an integer $k_1 \gg 0$ such that $((\xi_0 + rk_1\xi)^2) > 0$. If $\sqrt{2\ell((\xi_0 + rk_1\xi)^2)} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then $\xi_0 + rk_1\xi$ satisfies the claim. Assume that $a := \sqrt{2\ell((\xi_0 + rk_1\xi)^2)} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We take $\eta \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$ with $\langle \eta, (\xi_0 + rk_1\xi)\rangle = 0$. Then $\xi_1 := (rk_2 + 1)(\xi_0 + rk_1\xi) - r\eta$ satisfies $2\ell(\xi_1^2) = (rk_2 + 1)^2a^2 + 2\ell(\eta^2)$. If $2\ell(\xi_1^2) = x^2$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $-2\ell(\eta^2) = ((rk_2+1)a - x)((rk_2+1)a + x) > (rk_2+1)a$. Hence for $k_2 \gg 0$, $\sqrt{2\ell/(\xi_1^2)} = \sqrt{2\ell(\xi_1^2)}/(\xi_1^2) \notin \mathbb{Q}$. □

Proposition 3.43. *Assume that $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{NS}(X) \geq 2$. For $v = (r, \xi_0, a)$ with $\langle v^2\rangle = 2\ell$, if $\ell \geq r$, then $\overline{\cup_{u \in \mathfrak{W}} u^\perp}$ contains $\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}} \setminus P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. Since $\ell \geq r$, $u := (0, 0, -1)$ satisfies (1.2) and (1.4). Hence u defines a non-empty wall $u^\perp$.

We shall use Lemma 3.41 to show the claim. For $r\xi \in Q_\ell$, we take a primitive and ample divisor H such that $dH = \xi_0 + r(k\xi + \eta)$ ($k \gg 0$) and $\sqrt{2\ell/(H^2)} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sqrt{2\ell/(H^2)}H = \sqrt{2\ell/(\xi^2)}\xi = r\xi$. We set $L := \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}H \oplus \mathbb{Z}\varrho_X$. For $v' := ve^{k\xi+\eta} = (r, dH, a') \in L$, Lemma 2.7 implies we have an auto-equivalence Φ of $\mathbf{D}(X)$ such that $\Phi(v) = v$ and $\Phi_{|L}$ is of infinite order. Then $e^{(d\pm\sqrt{2\ell/(H^2)})H/r} \in \overline{\cup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Phi^n(u)^\perp}$. We set $\Psi := e^{-(k\xi+\eta)}\Phi e^{k\xi+\eta} \in \operatorname{Eq}(\mathbf{D}(X), v)$, where $\operatorname{Eq}(\mathbf{D}(X), v)$ is the set of autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(X)$ fixing v. Since $(d \pm \sqrt{2\ell/(H^2)})H = \xi_0 \pm \sqrt{2\ell/(H^2)}H + r(k\xi + \eta)$, $e^{(\xi_0\pm\sqrt{2\ell/(H^2)}H)/r} \in \overline{\cup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Psi^n(u)^\perp}$. Hence $e^{\xi_0/r+\xi} \in \overline{\cup_{\Phi\in\operatorname{Eq}(\mathbf{D}(X),v)}\Phi(u)^\perp}$.

For $\xi \in \overline{\operatorname{Amp}(X)}$ with $(\xi^2) = 0$, we have $(0, \xi, (\xi, \xi_0)/r) \in (0, 0, -1)^\perp$. Therefore the claim holds. □

Lemma 3.44. *Assume that v_1 defines a wall for v. Then $(\langle v^2\rangle + \langle v_1^2\rangle)/2 \geq \langle v, v_1\rangle > \langle v_1^2\rangle$. In particular, $\langle v^2\rangle > \langle v, v_1\rangle > \langle v_1^2\rangle$.*

Proof. Since $\langle v - v_1, v_1\rangle > 0$, we have $\langle v, v_1\rangle > \langle v_1^2\rangle$. Since $0 \leq \langle (v - v_1)^2\rangle = \langle v^2\rangle + \langle v_1^2\rangle - 2\langle v, v_1\rangle$, we get the first claim. Then we have $\langle v^2\rangle > \langle v_1^2\rangle$, which implies the second claim. □

Lemma 3.45. *Let X be an abelian surface with $\operatorname{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H \perp L$, where H is an ample divisor and L is a negative definite lattice. Assume that $(H^2) = 2\ell(4\ell ra + 1)$, $r, a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We set $v := (2\ell r, H, 2\ell a)$. Then $\langle v^2\rangle = (H^2) - 8\ell^2 ra = 2\ell$ and there is no wall for v.*

Proof. We note that $\langle v, w\rangle \in 2\ell\mathbb{Z}$ for all $w \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$. By Lemma 3.44, there is no wall for v. □

Remark 3.46. If $\sqrt{\langle v^2\rangle(H^2)} = 2\ell\sqrt{4\ell ra + 1} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ or $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{NS}(X) \geq 2$, then there are infinitely many auto-equivalences of $\mathbf{D}(X)$ preserving v.

Proposition 3.47. *Assume that* $\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{NS}(X) \geq 2$ *or* $\sqrt{\langle v^2\rangle/(H^2)} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. *If there is no wall for* v, *then* $\operatorname{Aut}(M_H(v))$ *contains an automorphism of infinite order.*

Proof. We may assume that $v = (r, \xi, a)$, where ξ is ample. We take $g \in \operatorname{Stab}_0(v)^*$ of infinite order. Then there is an autoequivalence Φ of $\mathbf{D}(X)$ which induces g. Then Φ induces an isomorphism $M_H(v) \to M_H(v)$. ☐

If $M_{(\beta,tH)}(v)$ is birationally equivalent to $M_H(1, 0, -\ell)$, we can get a more precise description of the stabilizer group. Since there is an auto-equivalence $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ with $\Phi(v) = (1, 0, -\ell)$, it is sufficient to treat the case $v = (1, 0, -\ell)$.

Proposition 3.48. *We set* $v = (1, 0, -\ell)$.

1. *A Fourier-Mukai transform* $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(Y) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ *with the condition* $\Phi((1, 0, -\ell)) = (1, 0, -\ell)$ *corresponds to a decomposition*

$$v = \ell u_1 + u_2,\ \langle u_1, u_2\rangle = 1,\ \langle u_1^2\rangle = \langle u_2^2\rangle = 0, \tag{3.35}$$

   where $u_1 = \Phi(-\varrho_Y)$ *and* $u_2 = \Phi(v(\mathcal{O}_Y))$.
2. *For the decomposition* (3.35), *one of the following holds.*
   - (i) $u_1 = (p^2 s, pq\xi, q^2 t)$ *and* $u_2 = -(q^2 t, \ell pq\xi, \ell^2 p^2 s)$, *where* $p, q, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ *satisfy* $\ell s p^2 - t q^2 = 1$ *and* ξ *is a primitive divisor with* $(\xi^2) = 2st > 0$.
   - (ii) $\ell = 1$, $u_1 = (0, \xi, -1)$, $(\xi^2) = 0$ *and* $u_2 = (1, -\xi, 0)$.
3. *For the case (i) of (2), if* $t = 1$, *then* $Y \cong X$.

Proof. (1) Since $v = \ell(-\varrho_Y) + v(\mathcal{O}_Y)$, we have $v = \Phi(v) = \ell\Phi(-\varrho_Y) + v(\mathcal{O}_Y)$. Since ϱ_Y and $v(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ are isotropic vector with $\langle -\varrho_Y, v(\mathcal{O}_Y)\rangle = 1$, we get the decomposition (3.35). Conversely for the decomposition (3.35), we have an equivalence $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(Y) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ such that $u_1 = \Phi(-\varrho_Y)$ and $u_2 = \Phi(v(\mathcal{O}_Y))$, where $Y = M_H(\pm u_1)$ and H is an ample divisor on X.

(2) We first assume that $\operatorname{rk} u_1 \neq 0$. We set $u_1 = (px, pq\xi, y)$, where $\xi \in \operatorname{NS}(X)$ is primitive and $\gcd(x, q\xi) = 1$. Since u_1 is primitive, $\gcd(p, y) = 1$. Assume that $q^2(\xi^2) \neq 0$. Then $p^2 q^2(\xi^2) = 2pxy$ implies that $p \mid x$ and $q^2 \mid y$. So we write $u_1 = (p^2 s, pq\xi, q^2 t)$ with $(\xi^2) = 2st$. Since $1 = \langle v, u_1\rangle$, we have $p^2 s\ell - q^2 t = 1$. We set $u_2 := v - \ell u_1$. Then $u_2 = (1 - \ell s p^2, -\ell pq\xi, -\ell(1 + q^2 t)) = -(q^2 t, \ell pq\xi, \ell^2 p^2 s)$. If $st < 0$, then we have $(p^2 s\ell, q^2 t) = (1, 0)$ or $(p^2 s\ell, q^2 t) = (0, -1)$. Since $\operatorname{rk} u_1 \neq 0$, we have $p^2 = s = \ell = 1$ and $q = 0$. Since $q^2(\xi^2) \neq 0$, this case does not occur. Therefore $st > 0$.

If $q^2(\xi^2) = 0$, then $y = 0$ and $p = \pm 1$. Hence $u_1 = \pm(x, q\xi, 0)$. Since $1 = \langle v, u_1\rangle = \pm\ell x$, $x = \pm 1$ and $\ell = 1$. If $q = 0$, then $u_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ and fits in the case (i), where we exchage u_1 and u_2. If $(\xi^2) = 0$, then $u_2 = (0, -q\xi, -1)$ and fits in the case (ii).

We next assume that $\operatorname{rk} u_1 = 0$. We set $u_1 = (0, \xi, y)$. Then $1 = \langle v, u_1\rangle = -y$. Hence $u_1 = (0, \xi, -1)$ with $(\xi^2) = 0$.

(3) If $(\xi^2) = 2s$, then Lemma 2.5 implies the claim. ☐

Remark 3.49. For case (i), $s, t > 0$ if and only if $\xi \in \operatorname{Amp}(X)$.

Remark 3.50. In [22], the condition $v = \ell u_1 + u_2$ with $\langle u_1, u_2\rangle = 1$, $\langle u_1^2\rangle = \langle u_2^2\rangle = 0$ is called *numerical equation* and plays important role for the study of stable sheaves.

For $m \in \mathbb{Q}$, we set

$$Q_{\ell,m} := \{\xi \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \xi \in \sqrt{m}\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, (\xi^2) = 2\ell\}. \tag{3.36}$$

$Q_{\ell,m} = Q_{\ell,m'}$ if and only if $\sqrt{m} \in \mathbb{Q}\sqrt{m'}$. For $\xi \in Q_{\ell,m}$, we take an ample divisor H with $\mathbb{Q}\xi \cap \operatorname{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$. If $p^2\ell(H^2)/2 - q^2 = 1$ has an integral solution (p, q), then there is an auto-equivalencve Φ in Proposition 3.48. In particular, if $\sqrt{m} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then there are infitetely many Φ in Proposition 3.48.

Lemma 3.51. (1) *Each* $Q_{\ell,m}$ *is a dense or empty subset of* Q_ℓ.
(2) $\cup_{\sqrt{m}\notin\mathbb{Q}} Q_{\ell,m}$ *is dense in* Q_ℓ.

Proof. (1) Assume that $Q_{\ell,m} \neq \emptyset$. We take $\xi_0 \in Q_{\ell,m}$ and set $\eta_0 := \xi_0/\sqrt{m}$. For $\xi \in Q_\ell$, we set $tu := (\xi - \xi_0)/\sqrt{m} \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then we have $t(t(u^2) + 2(\eta_0, u)) = 0$. If $(u^2) = 0$, then $t(\eta_0, u) = 0$. Since $(\eta_0^2) > 0$, we see that $tu = 0$. Thus $\xi = \xi_0$. So we may assume that $(u^2) \neq 0$. Then we see that $t = -2(\eta_0, u)/(u^2)$ and

$$\xi = \sqrt{m}\left(\eta_0 - 2\frac{(\eta_0, u)}{(u^2)}u\right),\ u \in \operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Therefore $Q_{\ell,m}$ is dense in Q_ℓ.

(2) is a consequence of Lemma 3.42 and (1). ☐

4. THE CASE WHERE $\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{NS}(X) = 1$.

4.1. **The walls and chambers on the (s,t)-plane.** From now on, let X be an abelian surface such that $\operatorname{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$, where H is an ample generator. We set $(H^2) = 2n$. Let $\mathbb{H} := \{(s,t) \mid t > 0\}$ be the upper half planr and $\overline{\mathbb{H}} := \{(s,t) \mid t \geq 0\}$ its closure. We identify $\operatorname{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \times C(\overline{\operatorname{Amp}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ via $(sH, H, t) \mapsto (s,t)$. We shall study the set of walls.

Lemma 4.1 ([8], [23, Cor. 4.9])**.** *If $\sqrt{\ell/n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, there are finitely many chamber.*

By this lemma, it is sufficient to treat the case where $\sqrt{\ell/n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that $n \leq 4$. Let $v := (r, dH, a)$ be a primitive Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle > 0$. Then there is an isometry Φ of $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}} = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 3}$ such that $\Phi(v) = (r', d'H, a')$ with $r'a' \leq 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that $r \neq 0$. Replacing v by $-v$, we may assume that $r > 0$. By the action of e^{mH}, we may assume that $|d| \leq r/2$. Since $d^2 n - ra > 0$, we have $n/4 \geq (d/r)^2 n > a/r$. By our assumption, we have $a < r$. If $a > 0$, then we apply the isometry $\xi : (r, dH, a) \mapsto (a, -dH, r)$. Applying the same arguments to $\xi(v)$, we finally get an isometry Φ such that $\Phi(v) = (r', d'H, a')$ with $r'a' \leq 0$. □

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that $n \leq 4$. Let $v := (r, dH, a)$ be a primitive Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle > 0$. If $\sqrt{\ell/n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then there are infinitely many isotropic Mukai vectors u such that $\langle u, v \rangle > 0$ and $\langle (v-u)^2 \rangle \geq 0$. In particular, there are infinitely many walls for v.*

Proof. We first show that there is a Mukai vector u satisfying the requirements. We may assume that $ra \leq 0$. If $ra = 0$, then $\langle v^2 \rangle = d^2 (H^2)$. Hence $ra < 0$. Then $u = (0,0,1)$ or $(0,0,-1)$ satisfies the requirements.

Since $\sqrt{\ell/n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $\operatorname{Stab}_0(v)^*$ contains an element g of infinite order. Then $g^n(u)$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ also satisfies the requirements. □

Remark 4.4. The condition $(H^2) \leq 8$ in Corollary 4.3 is necessary by Lemma 3.45.

4.2. **An example.** Assume that $n := (H^2)/2 = 1$. We set $v := (2, H, -2)$. Then $\ell := \langle v^2 \rangle/2 = 5$. We set

$$g := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ h := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.1}$$

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Stab}_0(v) =& \{\pm g^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ \operatorname{Stab}(v) =& \operatorname{Stab}_0(v) \rtimes \langle h \rangle, \\ h^{-1} g h =& -g^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Let C_0 is a line defined by

$$s = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{4.3}$$

It is the wall defined by $v_0 := (0,0,-1)$. Let C_{-1} is a circle defined by

$$t^2 + s(s+2) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

It is the wall defined by $v_{-1} := (1,0,0) = v_0 \cdot g^{-1}$. We set $v_n := v_0 \cdot g^n$ and let C_n be the wall defined by v_n.

Lemma 4.5. *$\{C_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the set of walls for v.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that there is no wall between C_{-1} and C_0. If a Mukai vector $w := (r', d'H, a')$ defines a wall for v and the wall W_w lies between C_{-1} and C_0. Since C_{-1} passes $(0,0)$, W_w intersects with the line $s = 0$. Hence we get $d', 1 - d' > 0$, which is a contradiction. □

Proposition 4.6. (1) *For $(s,t) \notin \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C_n$, $M_{(sH,tH)}(2, H, -2) \cong M_H(2, H, -2)$.*

(2) *Let (r, dH, a) be a primitive Mukai vector such that $2 \mid r, 2 \mid a$ and $d^2 - ra = 5$. Then $M_H(r, dH, a) \cong M_H(2, H, -2)$.*

Proof. (1) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the chamber between C_0 and C_{-1}. Then $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g^n(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{H} \setminus \cup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C_n$. Let $\Psi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ be a contravariant Fourier-Mukai transform inducing g. Since Ψ preserves the stability, the claim holds.

(2) By the action of $\operatorname{GL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, $w := (r, dH, a)$ is transformed to $(1, 0, -5)$ or $(2, H, -2)$ ([22, Prop. 7.12]). Since $2 \mid \langle w, u \rangle$ for all $u \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$, $w := (r, dH, a)$ is transformed to $(2, H, -2)$. Then the claim follows from (1). □

4.3. **Divisors on the moduli spaces $M_{(sH,tH)}(v)$.**

Definition 4.7. Let $v=(r,dH,a)$ be a Mukai vector with $r>0$ and set $\ell:=\langle v^2\rangle/2=d^2n-ra$. We set

$$s_\pm := \frac{d}{r}\pm\frac{1}{r}\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{n}}.$$

Lemma 4.8. *We take $g\in \operatorname{Stab}_0(v)^*$ such that the order is infinite. Then $(s_\pm,0)$ are the fixed points of the action of g on (s,t)-plane. In particular, if there is a wall, then $(s_\pm,0)$ are the accumulation points of the set of walls.*

We set $(\beta,\omega):=(sH,tH)$. Then $v=(r,dH,a)$ is written as

$$v=re^\beta+d_\beta(H+(\beta,H)\varrho_X)+a_\beta\varrho_X,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_\beta =& d-rs,\\ a_\beta =& -\langle e^\beta,v\rangle = a-(dH,\beta)+\frac{(\beta^2)}{2}r. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Definition 4.9. We set

$$\xi(s,t):=\xi(sH,H,t)=\left(r(s^2+t^2)n-a\right)\left(H+\frac{2dn}{r}\varrho_X\right)-2n(d-rs)\left(1-\frac{a}{r}\varrho_X\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Assume that (s,t) lies on the circle

$$C_{v,\lambda}: t^2+(s-\lambda)\left(s-\frac{1}{r\lambda-d}\left(\lambda d-\frac{a}{n}\right)\right)=0,\ \lambda\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{4.7}$$

that is, $\mathbb{R}Z_{(sH,tH)}(v)=\mathbb{R}Z_{(sH,tH)}(e^{\lambda H})$. Then we see that

$$\xi(s,t)=(d-rs)\left(\frac{r\lambda^2n-a}{d-r\lambda}\left(H+\frac{2dn}{r}\varrho_X\right)-2n\left(1-\frac{a}{r}\varrho_X\right)\right). \tag{4.8}$$

Thus $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\xi(s,t)$ is determined by λ. If

$$C_{v,\lambda}=\{(s,t)\mid \mathbb{R}Z_{(sH,tH)}(v)=\mathbb{R}Z_{(sH,tH)}(v_1)\},$$

that is, $C_{v,\lambda}$ is the wall defined by a Mukai vector $v_1:=(r_1,d_1H,a_1)$, then $\frac{r\lambda^2n-a}{d-r\lambda}=\frac{ra_1-r_1a}{r_1d-rd_1}\in\mathbb{Q}$. Thus $\xi(s,t)/(d-rs)\in H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{alg}}$.

Lemma 4.10.

$$\xi(s_\pm,0)=2\left(\frac{\ell}{r}\pm n\frac{d}{r}\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{n}}\right)\left(H+\frac{(dH,H)}{r}\varrho_X\right)\pm 2n\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{n}}\left(1-\frac{a}{r}\varrho_X\right) \tag{4.9}$$

and satisfy $\langle\xi(s_\pm,0)^2\rangle=0$. Thus $\xi(s_\pm,0)$ define isotropic vectors in $v^\perp\subset H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}\otimes\mathbb{R}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\xi(s_\pm,0)^2\rangle =& 4\left(\frac{\ell}{r}\pm n\frac{d}{r}\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{n}}\right)^2(2n)+4\ell n\frac{2a}{r}\mp 8\left(\frac{\ell}{r}\pm n\frac{d}{r}\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{n}}\right)\sqrt{n\ell}\frac{d}{r}(2n)\\ =& 4\left(\frac{\ell}{r}\pm n\frac{d}{r}\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{n}}\right)\left(\frac{\ell}{r}\mp n\frac{d}{r}\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{n}}\right)(2n)+4\ell n\frac{2a}{r}\\ =& 4\frac{\ell^2}{r^2}(2n)-4n\frac{d^2}{r^2}\ell(2n)+4\ell n\frac{2a}{r}\\ =& 4\frac{\ell}{r^2}(\ell-d^2n)(2n)+4\frac{\ell}{r^2}(ra)(2n)=0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore we get the claim. □

Proposition 3.15 is written as follows.

Proposition 4.11. (1) *If (s,t) belongs to a chamber and $s,t^2\in\mathbb{Q}$, then $\xi(s,t)$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor of $K_{(sH,tH)}(v)$.*

(2) *We have a bijective map*

$$\varphi:[s_-,s_+]\to C(\overline{P^+(K_{(sH,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{R}}}) \tag{4.11}$$

such that

$$\varphi(\lambda):=\mathbb{R}_{>0}\theta_v(\xi(\lambda,0)).$$

(3) $\mathrm{Nef}(K_{(sH,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{R}} = \varphi(\overline{D(sH,H,t)} \cap [s_-, s_+])$.

Proof. (1) is obvious. (2) We note that $f(\lambda) := (r\lambda^2 n - a)/(d - r\lambda)$ gives a bijective map

$$f : [s_-, s_+] \to [\tfrac{2\sqrt{n\ell}}{r} - \tfrac{2dn}{r}, \infty] \cup [-\infty, -\tfrac{2\sqrt{n\ell}}{r} - \tfrac{2dn}{r}]$$

and

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in [s_-, s_+]} C_{v,\lambda} = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(s_-, 0), (s_+, 0)\},$$

where we identify ∞ with $-\infty$, $\lambda = d/r$ corresponds to $\pm\infty$ and $C_{v,d/r}$ is the line $s = d/r$. Since $C_{v,\lambda} \cap [s_-, s_+] = \{\lambda\}$, $[s_-, s_+]$ is the parameter space of $C_{v,\lambda}$. Since $\xi(s,t)$ is determined by $f(\lambda)$, Proposition 3.15 implies φ is bijective.

(3) is a consequence of Proposition 3.15 (1) and (2). □

4.4. The movable cone of $K_{(sH,tH)}(v)$.

Lemma 4.12. *Let v be a Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle = 2\ell$.*

(1) $\mathfrak{I}_0 \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if* $\sqrt{\ell/n} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

(2) *Assume that* $\sqrt{\ell/n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. *Then* $\mathfrak{I}_k \neq \emptyset$ *if and only if* $\#\mathfrak{I}_k = \infty$. *In this case,* $(s_+, 0)$ *and* $(s_-, 0)$ *are the accumulation points of* $\cup_{w \in \mathfrak{I}_k} W_w$.

Proof. Since $x \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\langle x^2 \rangle = \langle x, v \rangle = 0$ if and only if $x \in \mathbb{R}\xi(s_\pm, 0)$. Hence (1) holds. By Proposition 2.3, $\mathrm{Stab}_0(v)$ contains an element g of infinite order. Hence (2) is obvious. □

Proposition 4.13. *Let v be a primitive Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 6$. Let W be a wall for v and take $(\beta, \omega) \in W$ such that $\beta \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then W is a codimension 1 wall if and only if W is defined by v_1 such that $v = v_1 + v_2$, $\langle v_1^2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle v, v_1 \rangle = 2$ and v_1 is primitive.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$, there is no decomposition $v = u_1 + u_2 + u_3$ such that $\langle u_i^2 \rangle = 0$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = 1$ $(i \neq j)$. Then the classification of codimension 1 walls in [14, Lem. 4.2.4 (2), Prop. 4.2.5] imply that W is defined by v_1 with the required properties. □

Theorem 4.14. *Let (X, H) be a polarized abelian surface X with $\mathrm{NS}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$. Let v be a primitive Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 6$. Assume that $\sqrt{\ell/n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.*

(1) *Assume that* $\mathfrak{I}_1 = \mathfrak{I}_2 = \emptyset$, *that is,*

$$\min\{\langle v, w \rangle > 0 \mid \langle w^2 \rangle = 0\} \geq 3. \tag{4.12}$$

Then the movable cone of $K_{(sH,tH)}(v)$ is the same as the positive cone of $K_{(sH,tH)}(v)$. In this case, there is an action of birational automorphisms such that a fundamental domain is a cone spanned by rational vectors.

(2) *Assume that* $\mathfrak{I}_1 = \emptyset$ *and* $\mathfrak{I}_2 \neq \emptyset$, *that is,*

$$\min\{\langle v, w \rangle > 0 \mid \langle w^2 \rangle = 0\} = 2. \tag{4.13}$$

Then the movable cone of $K_{(sH,tH)}(v)$ is spanned by two vectors, which give divisorial contractions. Moreover the exceptional divisors are primitive in $\mathrm{NS}(K_{(sH,tH)}(v))$.

(3) *Assume that* $\mathfrak{I}_1 \neq \emptyset$, *that is,*

$$\min\{\langle v, w \rangle > 0 \mid \langle w^2 \rangle = 0\} = 1. \tag{4.14}$$

Then the movable cone of $K_{(sH,tH)}(v)$ is spanned by two vectors, which give divisorial contractions. Moreover one of the exceptional divisors is divisible by 2 in $\mathrm{NS}(K_{(sH,tH)}(v))$.

Proof. (1)

Let $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(X) \to \mathbf{D}(X)$ be a Fourier-Mukai transform preserving $\pm v$. We set $(s'H, t'H) := \Phi((sH, tH))$. Then we have an isomorphism $\Phi : M_{(sH,tH)}(v) \to M_{(s'H,t'H)}(v)$, which induces a birational map

$$M_{(sH,tH)}(v) \overset{\Phi}{\to} M_{(s'H,t'H)}(v) \cdots \to M_{(sH,tH)}(v).$$

Since $\theta_v : v^\perp \to \mathrm{NS}(K_{(sH,tH)}(v))$ is compatible with respect to the action of Φ, we have an action of $\mathrm{Stab}_0(v)^*$ on $\mathrm{NS}(K_{(sH,tH)}(v))$. Since $\sqrt{\ell/n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then $\mathrm{Stab}_0(v)^*$ contains an element g of infinite order. Hence the claim holds.

(2) and (3) are consequence of Corollary 3.32. □

Remark 4.15. By Lemma 3.45, there are v satisfying (1). For $v = (2, H, -2k)$, we have $\langle v^2 \rangle = 2(n + 4k)$ and case (2) holds, if $\sqrt{\ell/n} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. If $\mathrm{rk}\, v = 1$, then case (3) holds.

Proposition 4.16. *Let v be a primitive Mukai vector with $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 6$. Assume that $\sqrt{\ell/n} \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

(1) *There is at most one isotropic Mukai vector v_1 with $\langle v, v_1 \rangle = 1, 2$.*

(2) *If there is a vector* v_1 *of (1), then*

$$\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}} = \operatorname{Mov}(K_{(sH,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{R}} \cup R_{v_1}(\operatorname{Mov}(K_{(sH,tH)}(v)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

and the two chambers are separated by $d_{v_1}^\perp$.

(3) *If there is no* v_1 *of (1), then* $\overline{P^+(v^\perp)_{\mathbb{R}}} = \operatorname{Mov}(K_{(sH,tH)}(v))_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. (1) Since $\sqrt{\ell/n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, there are two isotropic Mukai vectors w_1, w_2 such that

$$\{x \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\text{alg}} \mid \langle x, v\rangle = \langle x^2\rangle = 0\} = \mathbb{Z}w_1 \cup \mathbb{Z}w_2.$$

Then $v^\perp \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}w_1 + \mathbb{Q}w_2$. We may assume that $\langle w_1, w_2\rangle < 0$. Let v_1 be an isotropic Mukai vectors such that $\langle v, v_1\rangle = 1, 2$. Since $\langle v, d_{v_1}\rangle = 0$, we set $d_{v_1} := aw_1 + bw_2$ $(a, b \in \mathbb{Q})$. Then we have $2ab\langle w_1, w_2\rangle = -\langle v^2\rangle < 0$. By Lemma 3.22 (2), R_{v_1} preserves $\{\pm w_1, \pm w_2\}$. Since $R_{v_1}(w_1) = w_1 - \frac{1}{a}(aw_1 + bw_2) = -\frac{b}{a}w_2$, $R_{v_1}(w_1) = \pm w_2$. If $R_{v_1}(w_1) = w_2$, then we have $R_{v_1}(w_1 + w_2) = w_1 + w_2$. Hence $\langle d_{v_1}, w_1 + w_2\rangle = 0$. Since $\langle (w_1 + w_2)^2\rangle = 2\langle w_1, w_2\rangle < 0$ and $\langle d_{v_1}^2\rangle < 0$, $v^\perp$ is negative definite, which is a contradiction. Therefore $R_{v_1}(w_1) = -w_2$. Then we see that $w_1 - w_2 \in \mathbb{Z}d_{v_1}$. If $\langle v, v_2\rangle = 2$, then the primitivities of d_{v_1} and d_{v_2} imply that $d_{v_1} = \pm d_{v_2}$. If $d_{v_1} = -d_{v_2}$, then we see that $v = \frac{\langle v^2\rangle}{4}\left(\frac{2}{\langle v, v_1\rangle}v_1 + \frac{2}{\langle v, v_2\rangle}v_2\right)$. Since $\langle v^2\rangle \geq 6$ and v is primitive, this case does not occur. Therefore $d_{v_1} = d_{v_2}$, which implies that $v_1 = v_2$.

(2) and (3) are obvious. $\square$

Remark 4.17. Theorem 4.14 and Proposition 4.16 are compatible with Oguiso's general results [21, Thm. 1.3].

5. RELATIONS WITH MARKMAN'S RESULTS.

In this section, we shall explain the relation between our results and Markman's general results [9], [10], [11].

Definition 5.1. Let M be an irreducible symplectic manifold and h an ample class.

(1) An effective divisor E is prime exceptional, if E is reduced and irreducible of $q_M(E^2) < 0$.

(2) Let $e \in \operatorname{NS}(M)$ be a primitive class. e is stably prime exceptional, if $q_M(e, h) > 0$ and there is a projective irreducible symplectic manifold M', a parallel-transport operator $g : H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M',\mathbb{Z})$, and an integer k, such that $kg(e)$ is the class of a prime exceptional divisor $E \subset M'$.

Let Spe_M be the set of stably prime exceptional divisors of M. Then Markman described the interior of the movable cone in terms of Spe_M.

Theorem 5.2 ([10, Prop. 1.8, Lem. 6.22])**.** *Let* M *be an irreducible symplectic manifold and* $\operatorname{Mov}(M)^0$ *the interior of* $\operatorname{Mov}(v)_{\mathbb{R}}$. *Then*

$$\operatorname{Mov}(M)^0 = \{x \in P^+(M) \mid q_M(x, e) > 0 \textit{ for all } e \in \operatorname{Spe}_M\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let M be an irreducible symplectic manifold which is deformation equivalent to the generalized Kummer variety $K_H(1, 0, -\ell)$ of dimension $2\ell - 2$. We shall explain the description of Spe_M. For $e \in H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ with $q_M(e^2) = -2\ell$, we set

$$\operatorname{div} q_M(e,\ ) := \min\{q_M(e, x) > 0 \mid x \in \operatorname{NS}(M)\}.$$

As an abstruct lattice, the cohomological Mukai lattice $\oplus_{i=0}^2 H^{2i}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is independent of the choice of an abelian surface X. We denote this lattice by $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. It is a direct sum of 4 copies of the hyperbolic lattice. Since M is deformation equivalent to $K_H(1, 0, -\ell)$, by using a parallel-transport, we have a primitive embedding $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$. The $\mathrm{O}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$-orbit of the embedding is independent of the choice of a parallel-transport by similar claims to [10, Thm. 9.3, Thm. 9.8]. For $M = K_H(v)$, it is the embedding $H^2(K_H(v),\mathbb{Z}) \overset{\theta_v^{-1}}{\to} v^\perp \subset H^{2*}(X,\mathbb{Z})$ ([10, Example 9.6]). We fix an embedding and regard $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ as a sublattice of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}v$ be the orthogonal compliment of $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ in $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. Then $\langle v^2\rangle = 2\ell$. Since $\langle v, e\rangle = 0$, $e \pm v$ are isotropic. We define $(\rho, \sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ by requireing that $(e + v)/\rho$ and $(e - v)/\sigma$ are primitive and isotropic. We also set $r := \rho/\gcd(\rho, \sigma)$ and $s := \sigma/\gcd(\rho, \sigma)$. If $\ell \mid \operatorname{div} q_M(e,\ )$, then r and s are relatively prime integers with $rs = \ell, \ell/2, \ell/4$. We set $rs(e) := \{r, s\}$.

Proposition 5.3 ([11])**.** *Let* M *be an irreducible symplectic manifold of* $\dim M = 2\ell - 2$ *which is deformation equivalent to* $K_H(1, 0, -\ell)$.

(1) *For* $e \in \operatorname{Spe}_M$, $q_M(e^2) = -2\ell$ *and* $\ell \mid \operatorname{div} q_M(e,\ )$.

(2) *For* $e \in H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ *with* $q_M(e^2) = -2\ell$ *and* $\ell \mid \operatorname{div} q_M(e,\ )$, *the orbit of* e *of the monodromy group action is classified by* $rs(e) := \{r, s\}$ *and* $\operatorname{div} q_M(e,\ )$.

For each value of $\{r,s\}$ with $rs \in \{\ell, \ell/2, \ell/4\}$, the same examples in [9, sect. 10, 11] show that there are $K_H(v)$ with $\dim K_H(v) = 2\ell - 2$ and $e \in \operatorname{NS}(K_H(v))$ such that $\operatorname{div} q_M(e,\ ) = \ell, 2\ell$ and $rs(e) = \{r,s\}$. Then we also get the following description of Spe_M.

Proposition 5.4. *Let M be an irreducible symplectic manifold of $\dim M = 2\ell - 2$ which is deformation equivalent to $K_H(1,0,-\ell)$ and h an ample divisor on M. A divisor e with $q_M(e,e) = -2\ell$ and $q_M(e,h) > 0$ is stably prime exceptional if and only if*

(1) $\operatorname{div} q_M(e,\ ) = 2\ell$ *and* $\{r,s\} = \{1,\ell\}$ *or*

(2) $\operatorname{div} q_M(e,\ ) = \ell$ *and* $\{r,s\}$ *is one of the following.*
  (a) $\{r,s\} = \{2, \ell/2\}$, $\ell \geq 6$, $\ell \equiv 2 \mod 4$.
  (b) $\{r,s\} = \{1,\ell\}$, $\ell \geq 3$, $2 \nmid \ell$.
  (c) $\{r,s\} = \{1,\ell/2\}$, $\ell \geq 2$, $2 \mid \ell$.

If $M = K_{(\beta,\omega)}(v)$ for some v, then we shall explain that the case (1) corresponds to the codimension 0 wall $u^\perp$ ($u \in \mathfrak{I}_1$) and the case (2) corresponds to the codimension 1 wall $u^\perp$ ($u \in \mathfrak{I}_2$).

(1) We first assume that $u \in \mathfrak{I}_1$. Since $d_u = v - 2\ell u$, $v + d_u = 2(v - \ell u)$ and $v - d_u = 2\ell u$. By the proof of Lemma 3.22, $2\ell \mid \langle d_u, x\rangle$ for $x \in v^\perp$. Since $\langle v + d_u, u\rangle = 2$, $v + d_u$ is primitive, which implies that $\{\rho,\sigma\} = \{2, 2\ell\}$. Therefore $\{r,s\} = \{1,\ell\}$.

(2) We next assume that $u \in \mathfrak{I}_2$. In this case, we have $d_u = v - \ell u$. Thus $v + d_u = 2v - \ell u$ and $v - d_u = \ell u$. The proof of Lemma 3.22 also implies that $\ell \mid \langle d_u, x\rangle$ for $x \in v^\perp$. We next compute $\{r,s\}$. We take w such that $\mathbb{Z}u + \mathbb{Z}w$ is a saturated sublattice of $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$ containing v. We set $v = au + bw$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$). Then $v + d_u = (2a - \ell)u + 2bw$. We note that $2 = \langle v, u\rangle = b\langle u, w\rangle$. Thus $b = \pm 1, \pm 2$. If $2 \nmid \ell$, then $v + d_u$ is primitive, which implies that $\{\rho,\sigma\} = \{1,\ell\}$. Assume that $2 \mid \ell$. Then $(v + d_u)/2 = (a - \ell/2)u + bw \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$. If $b = \pm 1$, then $(v + d_u)/2$ is primitive, which implies $\{\rho,\sigma\} = \{2,\ell\}$. If $b = \pm 2$, then the primitivity of v implies that $2 \nmid a$. If $\ell \equiv 2 \mod 4$, then $(v + d_u)/4 \in H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{alg}}$ is primitive, which implies $\{\rho,\sigma\} = \{4,\ell\}$. If $\ell \equiv 0 \mod 4$, then $(v + d_u)/2$ is primitive, which implies $\{\rho,\sigma\} = \{2,\ell\}$. Therefore $\{r,s\}$ satisfies (a), (b) or (c).

References

[1] Arcara, D., Bertram, A., Coskun, I., Huizenga, J., *The Minimal Model Program for the Hilbert Scheme of Points on P^2 and Bridgeland Stability,* arXiv:1203.0316.

[2] Bayer, A., Macri, E., *Projectivity and Birational Geometry of Bridgeland moduli spaces,* arXiv:1203.4613

[3] Beauville, A., *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle,* J. Diff. Geom. **18** (1983), 755–782

[4] Boucksom, S., *Le cone kählérien d'une variété hyperkählérienne.* [The Kähler cone of a hyper-Kähler manifold] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **333** (2001), no. 10, 935–938.

[5] Boucksom, S., *Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), no. 1, 45–76.

[6] Bridgeland, T., *Stability conditions on K3 surfaces,* Duke Math. J. **141** (2008), 241–291.

[7] Kawamata, Y., *On the cone of divisors of Calabi-Yau fiber spaces,* Internat. J. Math. **8** (1997), 665–687.

[8] Maciocia, A., *Computing the Walls Associated to Bridgeland Stability Conditions on Projective Surfaces,* arXiv:1202.4587.

[9] Markman, E., *Prime exceptional divisors on holomorphic symplectic varieties and monodromy-reflections,* arXiv:0912.4981

[10] Markman, E., *A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties,* arXiv:1101.4606, "Complex and Differential Geometry", W. Ebeling et. al. (eds.), Springers proceedings in Math. 8, (2011), 257–323.

[11] Markman, E., *The movable cone of hyperkahler manifolds deformation equivalent to a generalized Kummer,* A letter to the author.

[12] Markman, E., Mehrotra, S., *Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces are dense in moduli,* arXiv:1201.0031

[13] Meachan, C., *Moduli of Bridgeland-stable objects,* Thesis 2012, University of Edinburgh.

[14] Minamide, H., Yanagida, S., Yoshioka, K., *Fourier-Mukai transforms and the wall-crossing behavior for Bridgeland's stability conditions*, arXiv:1106.5217.

[15] Minamide, H., Yanagida, S., Yoshioka, K., *Some moduli spaces of Bridgeland's stability conditions*, arXiv:1111.6187.

[16] Morrison, D., *Compactifications of moduli spaces inspired by mirror symmetry,* Journées de géométrie de algébrique d'Orsay (Orsay, 1992) Astérisque **218** (1993) 243-271.

[17] Mukai, S., *Semi-homogeneous vector bundles on an abelian variety*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), no. 2, 239–272.

[18] Mukai, S., *On Fourier functors and their applications to vector bundles on abelian surfaces* (in Japanese), in the proceeding of *Daisū-kikagaku Symposium* (Tohoku University, June 1979), 76–93.

[19] Mukai, S., *On classification of vector bundles on abelian surfaces* (in Japanese), RIMS Kôkyûroku **409** (1980), 103–127.

[20] Mukai, S., *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\widehat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves*, Nagoya Math. J. **81** (1981), 153–175.

[21] Oguiso, K., *Automorphism groups of Calabi-Yau manifolds of Picard number 2,* arXiv:1206.1649.

[22] Yanagida, S., Yoshioka, K., *Semi-homogeneous sheaves, Fourier-Mukai transforms and moduli of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces*, To appear in J. Reine Angew. Math., (available by online, DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2011-0010).

[23] Yanagida, S., Yoshioka, K., *Bridgeland's stabilities on abelian surfaces,* arXiv:1203.0884.

[24] Yoshioka, K., *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces,* Math. Ann. **321** (2001), 817–884, math.AG/0009001

[25] Yoshioka, K., *Fourier-Mukai transform on abelian surfaces*, Math. Ann. **345** (2009), no. 3, 493–524.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kobe University, Kobe, 657, Japan
E-mail address: `yoshioka@math.kobe-u.ac.jp`